New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code : 05-01-00-01-0000 Grade Range :

Name: Auburn City School District

Superintendent: Mr. John B. Plume

Fall Enrollment

Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	351	414	404
First	407	381	420
Second	396	330	360
Third	395	387	335
Fourth	401	400	378
Fifth	387	390	400
Sixth	385	397	404
Ungraded Elementary	44	0	0
Seventh	343	401	404
Eighth	361	357	379
Ninth	483	463	430
Tenth	379	408	390
Eleventh	337	336	351
Twelfth	371	343	333
Ungraded Secondary	92	32	32
Total K-12 Enrollment	5132	5039	5020

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin							
	1999-	-2000	2000–2001		2001–2002		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	61	1.2%	67	1.3%	75	1.5%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	388	7.6%	384	7.6%	376	7.5%	
Hispanic	47	0.9%	43	0.9%	59	1.2%	
White (Not Hispanic)	4636	90.3%	4545	90.2%	4510	89.8%	

Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	1999–2000		2000–2001		2000–2001		-2002
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.		
12	0.2%	10	0.2%	10	0.2%		

Average Class Size

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	17	21	19
Common Branch	19	20	21
English Grade 8	20	18	22
Mathematics Grade 8	20	19	20
Science Grade 8	22	19	25
Social Studies Grade 8	20	19	22
English Grade 10	24	22	26
Mathematics Grade 10	22	21	24
Science Grade 10	22	22	22
Social Studies Grade 10	22	26	26

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Attendance and Suspension

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.4%		93.5%		93.4%
Student Suspensions	400	7.6%	338	6.6%	413	8.2%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	22.4%	19.4%	21.9%
Reduced Lunch	4.6%	5.1%	5.1%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Staff	2001–2002
Total Teachers	363
Total Other Professional Staff	50
Total Paraprofessionals	106
Teaching out of Certification*	21
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	1999–2000				2000–2001			2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	306	194	63%	294	189	64%	272	201	74%	
Students with Disabilities	7	0	0%	5	0	0%	6	2	33%	
All Students	313	194	62%	299	189	63%	278	203	73%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

Distribution	Sisting at 1001 2001 2002 Graduates (1 in Stadents)							
	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other		
Number	133	116	5	4	9	11		
Percent	48%	42%	2%	1%	3%	4%		

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2001–2002
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
6	2	12	18

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					94	6.1%
Education	Entered GED Program*					6	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters					100	6.5%
Students	Dropped Out					22	1.4%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					22	1.4%
All	Dropped Out	74	4.6%	35	2.2%	116	7.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	34	2.1%	157	9.9%	6	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	108	6.7%	192	12.1%	122	7.9%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	70	94%	44	95%	24	83%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	43	95%	36	89%	41	85%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	200	87%	162	87%	174	87%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	4	#	2	#	

(Form - D)

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	2	#	
Science	10	50%	13	69%	7	86%	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Global Studies	14	36%	7	86%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	57	75%	18	78%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	16	75%	28	39%	4	#	
Science	29	41%	25	28%	3	#	
Reading	1	#	4	#	7	71%	
Writing	3	#	4	#	7	57%	
Global Studies	2	#	2	#	17	41%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	4	#	5	80%	

(Form - E)

	<u>xegents</u>					
		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
	Compi	rehensive Eng				
Number Tested	314	314	296	3	10	15
Number Scoring 55–100	293	296	272	#	5	4
Number Scoring 65–100	230	258	230	#	4	2
Number Scoring 85–100	19	65	93	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	94%	92%	#	50%	27%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	82%	78%	#	40%	13%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	21%	31%	#	0%	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	lministered J	anuary 2002)	1	
Number Tested	456	394	26	30	18	2
Number Scoring 55–100	315	339	24	8	15	#
Number Scoring 65–100	275	302	16	5	10	#
Number Scoring 85–100	158	154	4	0	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	69%	86%	92%	27%	83%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	60%	77%	62%	17%	56%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	39%	15%	0%	6%	#
		athematics A	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	27	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	12	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	4	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	#
	al Studies (las	t administere	d January 20			ı
Number Tested	0		ĺ	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	0			0		
Number Scoring 65–100	0			0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0			0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%			0%		
<u> </u>	ory and Geogi	raphy (first a	dministered .	June 2000)	ı	
Number Tested	307	364	330	8	19	19
Number Scoring 55–100	285	337	304	4	16	13
Number Scoring 65–100	263	310	275	3	11	5
Number Scoring 85–100	68	88	59	1	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	93%	92%	50%	84%	68%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	85%	83%	38%	58%	26%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	24%	18%	12%	5%	0%

(Form - F)

		All Students	S	Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
U.S. History a		ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)		
Number Tested	320	17		3	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	267	15		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	227	10		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	62	0		#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	83%	88%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	59%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	0%		#	0%	
	and Governi		lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		287	297		6	14
Number Scoring 55–100		261	286		4	10
Number Scoring 65–100		239	261		4	5
Number Scoring 85–100		113	81		2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		91%	96%		67%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		83%	88%		67%	36%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		39%	27%		33%	0%
	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)		
Number Tested		303	293		7	6
Number Scoring 55–100		298	292		7	6
Number Scoring 65–100		294	290		5	5
Number Scoring 85–100		126	118		0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		98%	100%		100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		97%	99%		71%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		42%	40%		0%	0%
Physical Sett	ing/Earth Sc	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		228	262		4	16
Number Scoring 55–100		208	252		#	15
Number Scoring 65–100		195	236		#	12
Number Scoring 85–100		76	97		#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		91%	96%		#	94%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		86%	90%		#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		33%	37%		#	6%

(Form - G)

Average Grade Enrollment

All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	411	326	303	105	286
2001	452	394	338	341	381
2002	419	385	344	323	368

^{*}In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

Students with Disabilities

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	21	7	4	1	8
2001	51	38	25	14	32
2002	75	52	35	24	47

^{*}In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

All Students 2000 2001 2002 2000	nts with Disa 2001	
Number Tested 62 35 40 0		
Number Tested 62 35 40 0		2002
Number Tested 62 35 40 0 Number Scoring 55–100 61 34 39 0 Number Scoring 65–100 59 34 38 0 Number Scoring 85–100 21 14 9 0 Percentage of AGE Tested 22% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 7% 4% 2% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% #	32	47
Number Scoring 55–100 61 34 39 0 Number Scoring 65–100 59 34 38 0 Number Scoring 85–100 21 14 9 0 Percentage of AGE Tested 22% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 21% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 7% 4% 2% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–1	0	
Number Scoring 65–100 59 34 38 0 Number Scoring 85–100 21 14 9 0 Percentage of AGE Tested 22% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 7% 4% 2% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% <t< td=""><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 21 14 9 0 Percentage of AGE Tested 22% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 21% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 7% 4% 2% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95%	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested 22% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 21% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 7% 4% 2% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 10% 95% 95% #	0	0
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 21% 9% 11% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 7% 4% 2% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0	0
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 21% 9% 10% 0% Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 7% 4% 2% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 7% 4% 2% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 95% 97% 95% 0% Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
Comprehensive Italian Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
Number Tested 86 40 38 1 Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 10% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
Number Scoring 55–100 86 38 37 # Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #		
Number Scoring 65–100 86 38 36 # Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 52 18 11 # Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0	0
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 30% 10% 10% # Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 18% 5% 3% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 95% 95% #	0%	0%
	0%	0%
		.4
Number Tested 1 0 0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 # 0 0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100 # 0 0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 # 0 0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested # 0% 0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 # 0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 # 0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 # 0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 # 0% 0%	0%	0%
Comprehensive Hebrew		
Number Tested 0 0 0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 0 0 0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100 0 0 0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 0 0 0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested 0% 0% 0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 0% 0% 0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 0% 0% 0% 0% Omega of Tested Scoring 65–100 0% 0% 0% 0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	286	381	368	8	32	47
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	236	154	172	1	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	221	150	170	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	209	142	163	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	75	72	70	#	0	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	83%	40%	47%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	77%	39%	46%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	73%	37%	44%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	26%	19%	19%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	92%	95%	#	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	5	1	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	5	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	5	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	#	#	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	2%	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	2%	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	2%	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	1%	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	#	#	0%	0%	0%

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	286	381	368	8	32	47
	Sequential M	Tathematics ,	Course II			
Number Tested	276	282	295	4	3	5
Number Scoring 55–100	239	247	250	#	#	4
Number Scoring 65–100	208	224	217	#	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	105	116	80	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	97%	74%	80%	#	#	11%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	84%	65%	68%	#	#	9%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	73%	59%	59%	#	#	6%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	37%	30%	22%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	79%	74%	#	#	60%
	Sequential M	lathematics, (Course III			
Number Tested	207	190	181	2	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	192	172	174	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	171	157	164	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	97	92	91	#	0	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	72%	50%	49%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	67%	45%	47%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	60%	41%	45%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	34%	24%	25%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	83%	91%	#	0%	#
Math	nematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)		
Number Tested		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%

(Form - K)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	286	381	368	8	32	47
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 200	01)		
Number Tested	306	84		5	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	284	78		5	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	250	71		4	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	97	48		0	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	107%	22%		62%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	99%	20%		62%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	87%	19%		50%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	34%	13%		0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	85%		80%	#	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2001)			
Number Tested	277	2	•	4	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	269	#		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	253	#		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	112	#		#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	97%	#		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	94%	#		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	88%	#		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	39%	#		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	#		#	0%	
	mistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002)		•
Number Tested	203	185	6	0	4	0
Number Scoring 55–100	200	171	5	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	173	141	3	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	74	59	0	0	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	71%	49%	2%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	70%	45%	1%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	60%	37%	1%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	26%	15%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	76%	50%	0%	#	0%

(Form - L)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	286	381	368	8	32	47
Pł	ysics (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	90	110	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	86	109	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	80	104	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	22	43	#	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	31%	29%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	30%	29%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	28%	27%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	8%	11%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	95%	#	0%	0%	0%
Physical So	etting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			212			2
Number Scoring 55–100			208			#
Number Scoring 65–100			160			#
Number Scoring 85–100			23			#
Percentage of AGE Tested			58%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			57%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			43%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			6%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			75%			#
Physical	Setting/Physic	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)		
Number Tested			91			2
Number Scoring 55–100			83			#
Number Scoring 65–100			67			#
Number Scoring 85–100			14			#
Percentage of AGE Tested			25%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			23%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			18%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			4%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			74%			#

(Form - M)

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	16	88%	76	100%	7	100%
Students with Disabilities	2	#	3	#	0	0%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	339	3%	4%	64%	29%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	65	17%	9%	69%	5%
	All Students	404	5%	5%	65%	25%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	268	0%	21%	66%	13%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	39	3%	64%	33%	0%
	All Students	307	1%	26%	62%	11%

(Form - N)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level							
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

1998 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	294	294	17	17	311	311
Number Scoring 55–64	15	16	4	2	19	18
Number Scoring 65–84	186	132	4	3	190	135
Number Scoring 85–100	72	108	1	2	73	110
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General-	Students	
	Education	with	All Students
	Students	Disabilities	7 III Students
Cohort Enrollment	308	27	335
Number Scoring 55–64	7	0	7
Number Scoring 65–84	145	7	152
Number Scoring 85–100	140	1	141
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)