## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:05-01-00-01-0000Name:Auburn City School DistrictSuperintendent:John B. Plume

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	404	365	373
First	420	391	362
Second	360	398	377
Third	335	352	395
Fourth	378	336	358
Fifth	400	387	342
Sixth	404	410	407
Ungraded Elementary	0	6	0
Seventh	404	397	423
Eighth	379	376	396
Ninth	430	403	419
Tenth	390	353	382
Eleventh	351	354	315
Twelfth	333	325	314
Ungraded Secondary	32	77	48
Total K-12 Enrollment	5020	4930	4911

### Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	75	1.5%	77	1.6%	65	1.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	376	7.5%	397	8.1%	404	8.2%
Hispanic	59	1.2%	48	1.0%	53	1.1%
White (Not Hispanic)	4510	89.8%	4408	89.4%	4389	89.4%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	19	18	19
Common Branch	21	20	19
English Grade 8	22	24	20
Mathematics Grade 8	20	22	19
Science Grade 8	25	22	23
Social Studies Grade 8	22	25	21
English Grade 10	26	23	22
Mathematics Grade 10	24	24	21
Science Grade 10	22	21	22
Social Studies Grade 10	26	24	22

Auburn City School District

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	10	0.2%	8	0.2%	8	0.2%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1101	21.9%	1251	25.4%	1290	26.3%

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.4%		93.4%		93.8%
Student Suspensions	413	8.2%	286	5.7%	450	9.1%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	5.1%	6.2%	7.4%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts				
Staff	2003–04			
Total Teachers	387			
Total Other Professional Staff	36			
Total Paraprofessionals	125			
Teaching Out of Certification*	10			

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

Then School Of addates Earning Regents Diplomas										
	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	272	201	74%	279	202	72%	255	211	83%	
Students with Disabilities	6	2	33%	9	3	33%	12	4	33%	
All Students	278	203	73%	288	205	71%	267	215	81%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	89	143	3	4	14	14
Percent	33%	54%	1%	1%	5%	5%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
12	4	16	28

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	94		108		91	6.7%
Education	Entered GED Program*	6		12		10	0.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	100		120		101	7.4%
Students	Dropped Out	22		28		21	10.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		2		2	1.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	22		30		23	11.3%
All	Dropped Out	116	7.6%	136	9.2%	112	7.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	6	0.4%	14	0.9%	12	0.8%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	122	7.9%	150	10.1%	124	7.9%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	27	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	27	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	2%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	1366	1263	1271
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	170	172	164
9–12	Number of All Students	1536	1435	1435
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	97%	98%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	32		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	32	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	32	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	32	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	29		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	29	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	0		19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	24	83%	56	91%	76	88%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	41	85%	42	90%	34	85%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	174	87%	88	92%	188	87%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	2	#	0	0%	4	#	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	2	#	6	83%	
Science	7	86%	4	#	5	100%	
Reading	1	#	1	#	3	#	
Writing	0	0%	2	#	4	#	
Global Studies	1	#	1	#	5	60%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	2	#	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	4	#	15	73%	29	69%	
Science	3	#	14	79%	22	77%	
Reading	7	71%	12	58%	19	68%	
Writing	7	57%	13	85%	19	79%	
Global Studies	17	41%	10	40%	28	54%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	80%	8	63%	10	40%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		<u>ehensive Eng</u>				
Number Tested	296	355	313	15	15	25
Number Scoring 55–100	272	319	290	4	6	16
Number Scoring 65–100	230	283	257	2	3	8
Number Scoring 85–100	93	93	89	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	90%	93%	27%	40%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	80%	82%	13%	20%	32%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	26%	28%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	27	248	398	2	11	32
Number Scoring 55–100	12	209	389	#	4	27
Number Scoring 65–100	4	187	355	#	2	17
Number Scoring 85–100	0	36	101	#	0	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	44%	84%	98%	#	36%	84%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	15%	75%	89%	#	18%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	15%	25%	#	0%	12%
	M	athematics <b>B</b>	•		•	
Number Tested	0	0	138	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	125	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	112	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	41	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	81%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	30%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	330	322	396	19	25	32
Number Scoring 55–100	304	285	330	13	19	18
Number Scoring 65–100	275	248	269	5	12	8
Number Scoring 85–100	59	59	66	0	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	89%	83%	68%	76%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	77%	68%	26%	48%	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	18%	17%	0%	8%	0%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gove	rnment		•	•
Number Tested	297	326	281	14	10	18
Number Scoring 55–100	286	319	254	10	10	15
Number Scoring 65–100	261	299	217	5	8	10
Number Scoring 85–100	81	134	73	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	98%	90%	71%	100%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	92%	77%	36%	80%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	41%	26%	0%	10%	6%

(Form - F)

	Regents			0			
		All Students	r	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme					
Number Tested	293	240	267	6	13	16	
Number Scoring 55–100	292	240	265	6	13	15	
Number Scoring 65–100	290	230	255	5	12	10	
Number Scoring 85–100	118	110	106	0	1	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	94%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	96%	96%	83%	92%	62%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	46%	40%	0%	8%	0%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	262	267	324	16	14	24	
Number Scoring 55–100	252	259	294	15	11	19	
Number Scoring 65–100	236	247	270	12	9	10	
Number Scoring 85–100	97	124	99	1	2	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	97%	91%	94%	79%	79%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	93%	83%	75%	64%	42%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	46%	31%	6%	14%	12%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	212	244	187	2	3	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	208	219	182	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	160	160	135	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	23	27	28	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	90%	97%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	66%	72%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	11%	11%	15%	#	#	#	
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			21			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			21			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			21			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			11			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			52%			0%	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					1. 11.4.
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
NI 1 T / 1		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	40	32	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	39	32	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	38	32	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	9	15	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	95%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	47%	42%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita			-	
Number Tested	38	18	35	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	37	18	35	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	36	18	35	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	11	7	15	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	97%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	39%	43%	0%	0%	#
	<u> </u>	ehensive Ger	man		•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
V	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish		•	
Number Tested	172	147	161	2	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	170	143	158	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	163	142	154	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	70	71	68	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	98%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	97%	96%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	48%	42%	#	#	#
		orehensive La			•	1
Number Tested	4	4	3	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
reteringe of rested Scoting 05 100		"		070	070	(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	181	186	13	1	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	174	169	10	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	164	157	9	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	91	79	1	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	91%	77%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	84%	69%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	50%	42%	8%	#	#	#

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
7	100%	24	79%	22	91%
0	0%	9	67%	1	#
		No. Tested % Passing   7 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   7 100% 24	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   7 100% 24 79%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   7 100% 24 79% 22

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	286	6%	14%	63%	17%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	51	55%	20%	22%	4%
	All Students	337	14%	15%	56%	15%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	340	4%	45%	40%	11%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	41	12%	73%	15%	0%
	All Students	381	5%	48%	38%	10%

(Form – I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
		Middle Le	evel						
Social Studies	0	1	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	4	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	4	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	4	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	3	0	#	#	#	#			

### 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	321	321	321	47	47	47	368	368	368
Number Scoring 55–64	8	10	4	5	2	5	13	12	9
Number Scoring 65–84	210	134	134	6	6	9	216	140	143
Number Scoring 85–100	62	127	150	2	2	1	64	129	151
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Stude	ents with Disat	oilities	
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)	I	
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)	)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>()</u>		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)	luciaistans din the 20		#	4		0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			0			0	
Proficient (37–39)			0			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0	
Advanced (25–32)			0			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	

37 arly Ctata E 1.1 C лı A 1 . 4 **T** AT ANVOLOL AT \*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)