# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 64-01-01-04-0000

Name: Argyle Central School District

Superintendent: Ryan Sherman

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	46	45	31
First	51	51	41
Second	52	51	51
Third	55	62	48
Fourth	59	53	56
Fifth	59	56	49
Sixth	66	72	53
Ungraded Elementary	17	0	14
Seventh	68	73	66
Eighth	72	71	67
Ninth	69	75	72
Tenth	69	65	62
Eleventh	47	65	61
Twelfth	48	47	61
Ungraded Secondary	6	0	8
Total K-12 Enrollment	784	786	740

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

9	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	5	0.6%	6	0.8%	6	0.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	5	0.6%	2	0.3%	3	0.4%
Hispanic	6	0.8%	8	1.0%	7	0.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	768	98.0%	770	98.0%	724	97.8%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	AVCI age Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	14	23	16						
Common Branch	19	18	18						
English Grade 8	0	17	21						
Mathematics Grade 8	17	17	15						
Science Grade 8	17	16	20						
Social Studies Grade 8	17	17	22						
English Grade 10	22	20	22						
Mathematics Grade 10	17	27	17						
Science Grade 10	1	16	14						
Social Studies Grade 10	17	22	20						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

	V
N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002–03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	2	0.3%	3	0.4%
Eligible for Free Lunch	109	13.9%	116	14.8%	115	15.5%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.3%		95.8%		96.2%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	23	2.9%	37	4.7%	25	3.2%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	11.9%	10.7%	9.7%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

~ <b></b>					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	61				
Total Other Professional Staff	7				
Total Paraprofessionals	18				
Teaching Out of Certification*	0				

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	38	23	61%	37	18	49%	52	29	56%	
Students with Disabilities	4	1	25%	4	0	0%	5	1	20%	
All Students	42	24	57%	41	18	44%	57	30	53%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	7	32	0	3	14	1
Percent	12%	56%	0%	5%	25%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
5	1	0	5

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		200	2-03	2003	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	4		4		5	2.2%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		0		2	0.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	6		4		7	3.1%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		1	2.4%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		1		1	2.4%
All	Dropped Out	4	1.7%	5	2.0%	6	2.3%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.8%	0	0.0%	2	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	6	2.5%	5	2.0%	8	3.0%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	45	44	200
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	3	4	50
9–12	Number of All Students	48	48	250
	Percent of Enrollment	20%	19%	96%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Buoguam	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	52	77%	58	84%	40	68%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	4	#	3	#	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	3	#	1	#	
Science	1	#	2	#	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	1	#	1	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Students With Disubilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	12	92%	6	100%	6	67%			
Science	2	#	2	#	8	50%			
Reading	0	0%	2	#	1	#			
Writing	0	0%	2	#	0	0%			
Global Studies	3	#	2	#	2	#			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	1	#			

(Form - E)

	Negents	LAAIIII	mations	,		
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	50	69	64	4	7	8
Number Scoring 55–100	49	66	63	#	6	7
Number Scoring 65–100	46	60	59	#	5	5
Number Scoring 85–100	16	27	30	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	98%	#	86%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	87%	92%	#	71%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	39%	47%	#	0%	0%
		athematics A			•	
Number Tested	38	73	73	5	1	8
Number Scoring 55–100	23	65	71	3	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	14	59	67	0	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	1	12	10	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	61%	89%	97%	60%	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	37%	81%	92%	0%	#	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	16%	14%	0%	#	0%
1 orderings of 1 october 5 oct 100		athematics B	1.70	0,0		0,0
Number Tested	0	0	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	2	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	76%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	48%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo			•	
Number Tested	69	70	59	7	8	6
Number Scoring 55–100	67	64	53	7	7	4
Number Scoring 65–100	58	59	46	4	5	4
Number Scoring 85–100	6	9	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	91%	90%	100%	88%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	84%	78%	57%	62%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	13%	17%	0%	0%	0%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gove	rnment		1	1
Number Tested	42	64	63	4	6	9
Number Scoring 55–100	42	64	62	#	6	8
Number Scoring 65–100	38	61	57	#	5	7
Number Scoring 85–100	11	15	18	#	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	#	100%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	95%	90%	#	83%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	23%	29%	#	17%	0%
				···	- , , ,	0,0

(Form – F)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04			
Living Environment									
Number Tested	122	56	76	15	6	7			
Number Scoring 55–100	119	47	65	15	4	1			
Number Scoring 65–100	115	41	54	12	3	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	13	1	5	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	84%	86%	100%	67%	14%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	73%	71%	80%	50%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	2%	7%	0%	0%	0%			
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science						
Number Tested	5	48	58	1	7	4			
Number Scoring 55–100	5	45	49	#	5	#			
Number Scoring 65–100	3	40	46	#	5	#			
Number Scoring 85–100	0	5	14	#	1	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	94%	84%	#	71%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	60%	83%	79%	#	71%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	10%	24%	#	14%	#			
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry						
Number Tested	21	1	23	0	0	1			
Number Scoring 55–100	21	#	23	0	0	#			
Number Scoring 65–100	16	#	21	0	0	#			
Number Scoring 85–100	1	#	3	0	0	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	#	100%	0%	0%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	#	91%	0%	0%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	5%	#	13%	0%	0%	#			
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics						
Number Tested			18			0			
Number Scoring 55–100			17			0			
Number Scoring 65–100			16			0			
Number Scoring 85–100			4			0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			94%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			89%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			22%			0%			

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Fre			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	26	23	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	26	23	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	25	23	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	12	11	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	92%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	100%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	48%	31%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			•
Number Tested	1	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	25	23	2	0	3	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	17	12	#	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	13	8	#	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	5	4	#	0	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	68%	52%	#	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	52%	35%	#	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	17%	#	0%	#	0%			

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	29	100%	42	100%	33	88%	
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	4	#	9	44%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	41	5%	7%	61%	27%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	7	14%	14%	71%	0%
	All Students	48	6%	8%	63%	23%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	44	0%	36%	55%	9%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	17	6%	76%	18%	0%
	All Students	61	2%	48%	44%	7%
						(= ±)

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary 1	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	53	53	53	10	10	10	63	63	63
Number Scoring 55–64	5	2	1	4	1	2	9	3	3
Number Scoring 65–84	43	36	39	5	6	7	48	42	46
Number Scoring 85–100	5	13	12	0	1	0	5	14	12
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1)							
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u> </u>						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	ıg (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students	<i>S</i> .	Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)										
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)