

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District ALLEGANY - LIMESTONE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 040302060000 Superintendent DIANE MUNRO Telephone (716) 375-6600 Grades K-12

## **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



### View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	19	0	0
Kindergarten	114	90	127
Grade 1	100	110	82
Grade 2	93	101	109
Grade 3	91	93	102
Grade 4	114	95	96
Grade 5	118	116	95
Grade 6	114	113	122
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	125	117	117
Grade 8	113	124	113
Grade 9	131	114	126
Grade 10	110	132	104
Grade 11	104	101	123
Grade 12	121	106	100
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1448	1412	1416

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	18	17
Grade 8			
English	18	20	18
Mathematics	18	20	18
Science	19	20	
Social Studies	18	20	17
Grade 10			
English	21	21	18
Mathematics	12	14	16
Science	20	23	19
Social Studies	20	21	18

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	168	12%	192	14%	191	13%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	116	8%	99	7%	111	8%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	1%	11	1%	7	0%	
Black or African American	20	1%	17	1%	17	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	18	1%	24	2%	24	2%	
Asian or Native	19	1%	24	2%	26	2%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	1380	95%	1336	95%	1342	95%	

\* Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		97%		96%	
Student Suspensions	60	N/A	43	3%	39	3%	

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	301	314	456
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	3%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	2	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	4	2	2
Percentage of Total	3%	2%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	16%	16%

## **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	122	104	109
Total Other Professional Staff	13	6	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	28	34	39
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	4	4	4

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### **Federal Title I Status**

New York State Status (Applies to New York State district)

(Ap	plies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)		(Applies to New York State districts)
^	<b>District in Good Standing</b> A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	en id	lentified as a District in Need of Improvement
<b>^</b>	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)</b> A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requirin Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
<b>^</b>	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
•	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
~	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
<b>^</b>	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

## **2** District Accountability

District ALLEGANY - LIMESTONE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


### Summary

Status (2006–07)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	el.
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing
	Math	Good Standing	Math	A Good Standing
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	A Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07				
	YES	YES	YES				

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_		_	_		
Black or African American	–	–	••••	_	–	••••	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	–	–	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White	<	<b>v</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>v</b>		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	✔ 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1	



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (629:621)	Status		100%		164	118	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (9:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (12:12)	_	_	-	_	-	_		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••• •••••	-
White (588:581)	<	✓	100%	<b>~</b>	163	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (68:68)	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>√</b> SH	93	110	66	104
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••				••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (151:147)	<	~	100%	~	144	113	••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	ΑΥΡ	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (630:614)	V		100%		162	82	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (9:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (12:12)	_	_	_	–	-	-	••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (589:574)	<	<b>~</b>	99%	<b>~</b>	162	81		••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (69:67)	~	<b>~</b>	99%	<b>~</b>	91	74		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged (152:144)	~	~	99%	~	142	77		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (204:195)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	100%	<b>V</b>	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (5:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (191:184)		Qualified	<	100%	~	188	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (18:17)		-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (45:39)		Qualified	~	100%	~	190	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	f 1							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	5	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (95:92)	V	V	98%	<b>V</b>	196	144			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (1:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	–	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (91:90)	✓	✓	98%	<b>~</b>	196	144	••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (10:6)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (12:11)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

### NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (95:92)	V	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	200	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (1:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (91:90)	✓	✓	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	200	136	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (10:6)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (12:11)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

### NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (102)	~	<b>~</b>	89%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		_	-	-	-	-
White (99)	• • • • • • • • • • •	<	90%	55%		••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (9)		_	-	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (11)		-	-	-	_	-
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1				

### NOTES

Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### Federal Title | Status

**New York State Status** 

▲ Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total ALLEGANY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ALLEGANY-LIMESTONE HIGH SCHOOL

ALLEGANY-LIMESTONE MIDDLE SCHOOL

LIMESTONE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	80%		99
Grade 4	86%		91
Grade 5	77%		93
Grade 6	57%		119
Grade 7	72%		113
Grade 8	43%		109
Mathematics			
Grade 3	83%		98
Grade 4	83%		92
Grade 5	62%		95
Grade 6	46%		119
Grade 7	77%		110
Grade 8	69%		110
Science			
Grade 4	L00%		92
Grade 8	80%		111
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	87%		102
Mathematics	91%		102
	Percentage who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	87%	l	102

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State F	Public		
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	۷	ŀ	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 678	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	730-780				
	100%	97%				92%			
			80%			5270	69%		
							0570		
				ģ	9%			7%	
Number of Students:		96	79		9				
Number of Students.		50	15		5				
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year		
Student Gro		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	vel(s):
Student GIU	սբ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		99	97%	80%	<b>9</b> %				
Female		46	100%	85%	13%			••••••	
Male		53	94%	75%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska	Native	1	-						
Black or African American	l		<del>.</del>	<u>-</u>					
Hispanic or Latino		3	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	Other	3	-	_	-				
Pacific Islander			070/	0.20/		This tes	st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.
White			97%	82%					
Small Group Totals General-Education Studen	te	10 89	100% 99%	60% 82%	20%				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		10	80%	60%		• •••••			
Students with Disabilities English Proficient		99	97%	80%	0% 9%	_			
Limited English Proficient		<u> </u>	5170	0070	570	• •••••			
Economically Disadvantag	ed	32	97%	59%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged			97%	90%	13%		•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant		01	5170	5070	1370				
Not Migrant		99		80%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••
NOTES			5170	0070	370				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 677	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	96%				94%					
			83%			54%	81%				
								25%			
				2.	1%			2370			
Number of Students:		94	81	2	21						
		2005-06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Veau			2004-05	School Year				
Results by			Percentage		level(s).	Total		scoring at le	vel(s).		
Student Grou	lb dr	Total Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	-	98	96%	83%	21%						
Female		46	98%	83%	24%						
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	52	94%	83%	19%		•••••				
American Indian or Alaska	Native	1	_	_	-						
Black or African American		3									
Hispanic or Latino		3	_		_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	Other	3	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		This to	st was not giv	on in 2001	05		
White		88	98%	83%	22%	· · · · · · ·	st was not giv	2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		10 88	80% 99%	80% 86%	20%	_					
General-Education Students	5	••••••		•••••	24%	• •••••					
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	50%	0%	_					
English Proficient		98	96%	83%	21%	• •••••					
Limited English Proficient			0.001	650/	4.00%						
Economically Disadvantage	a	31	90%	65%	10%		•••••				
Not Disadvantaged		67	99%	91%	27%	_					
Migrant	•••••					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				
Not Migrant		98	96%	83%	21%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 677	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%	98%	86%			91%	69%				
				1	0%			9%			
umber of Students:		89 78 9									
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 S	ichool Year				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		91	98%	86%	10%						
Female		45	100%	87%	16%						
Male		46	96%	85%	4%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		4									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-				essments for		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	2	-	-	-		dle-level Eng mathematic	, ,	ige		
White		84	98%	86%	11%		ered in 200				
Small Group Totals		7	100%	86%	0%		sessments c				
General-Education Students		87	-	-	-		ed to results ered assessr	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities		4	-		-	auminist	ereu assessr	nents.			
English Proficient		91	98%	86%	10%						
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged		20	95%	80%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		71	99%	87%	13%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		91	98%	86%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ $4$			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	l(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 682	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
	100%	99%				93%					
			83%			9370	78%				
				2	5%			26%			
				2	J 70			20%			
Number of Students:		91	76	2	23						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> a	chool Year	r		2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Grou	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		92	99%	83%	25%						
Female		45	98%	78%	24%						
Male		47	100%	87%	26%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native										
Black or African American		4									
Hispanic or Latino		1	_	_	_		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/(	Other	2	_	_	_		dle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander							d mathematic				
White		85	99%	84%	27%		tered in 200 ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		7	100%	71%	0%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Student	s	88	_		-		tered assessi	•	custy		
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-						
English Proficient		92	99%	83%	25%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantage	ed	21	100%	67%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged		71	99%	87%	30%	_					
Migrant		•••••••••••••••••		•••••							
Not Migrant		92	99%	83%	25%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at level	(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 86	Range:	45-100	65-100	) 85	-100						
■ 2005–06 ■ 2004–05 Number of Students:	100%	92 91	100% 92 92 86	63	44%	97% 95%	86% 80	% 49	<sup>%</sup> 42%		
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Yea	r			
		Total	tal Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		92	100%	100%	63%	93	98%	<b>92</b> %	44%		

92	100 /0	100 /0	0370	93	98%	9270	44%
44	100%	100%	61%	48	98%	90%	38%
48	100%	100%	65%	45	98%	96%	51%
				2	-	-	-
5	-	-	-	1	-	–	-
1	-	-	-				
 າ				2			••••••
2	_	_	-	Z	-	_	_
84	100%	100%	65%	88	98%	92%	43%
8	100%	100%	38%	5	100%	100%	60%
87	100%	100%	63%	84	100%	95%	48%
5	100%	100%	60%	9	78%	67%	11%
92	100%	100%	63%	93	98%	92%	44%
							••••••
22	100%	100%	55%	22	100%	100%	41%
70	100%	100%	66%	71	97%	90%	45%
92	100%	100%	63%	93	98%	92%	44%
	44 48 5 1 2 84 84 87 5 92 22 70	44       100%         48       100%         5       -         1       -         2       -         84       100%         8100%       8         87       100%         5       100%         92       100%         22       100%         70       100%	44       100%       100%         48       100%       100%         5       -       -         1       -       -         2       -       -         84       100%       100%         87       100%       100%         5       100%       100%         92       100%       100%         70       100%       100%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number sco		oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public						
	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Range:	608-795	650-7	'95 7	11-795							
100%	97%				94%						
		77%				670/					
						0170					
			1	2%			12%				
	90	72	1	1	_						
	2005–06 <b>S</b> o										
	Total	-	-		Total	-	-				
					Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
•••••	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	•••••••	•••••			
		98%	76%	20%							
e	· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····-		•••••						
	<sup>1</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	<u>-</u>	• • • • • • • •						
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••							
	2	-	-	-							
			 76%	13%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
• • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	100%	100%	0%							
	81	99%	86%	12%							
	12	83%	17%	8%							
	93	97%	77%	12%							
•••••	•••••••		•••••								
	24	92%	71%	0%							
	69	99%	80%	16%							
	93	97%	77%	1 20/							
		Percentage s 2-4 Range: 608-795 100% 97% 97% 90 2005-06 So Total Tested 93 48 48 45 7e 2 1 1 2 88 5 81 12 93	2-4       3-4         Range:       608-795       650-7         100%       97%       77%         90       72         90       72         90       72         704       Percentage         Total       Percentage         Tested       2-4         93       97%         48       96%         45       98%         7e       2         2       -         1       -         2       -         88       97%         5       100%         81       99%         12       83%         93       97%         24       92%         69       99%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       7         100%       97%       77%       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         90       72       1         11:       90       77%         12       93       97%       77%         48       96%       79%       1         45       98%       76%       1         7e       2       -       -       1         2       -       -       1       -       -         2       -       -       1       -       -       1         2       -       -       -       1       -       -       1         2       -       -       -       1       <	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795         100%       97%       77%       12%         90       72       11         90       72       11         90       72       11         90       72       11         90       72       11         90       72       11         90       72       12%         90       72       11         90       72       11         90       72       11         90       72       11         90       72       12%         91       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4         48       96%       79%       4%         93       97%       76%       20%         76       13%       2       -       -         2       -       -       -       -         2       -       -       -       -         2       -       -       -       -         2	Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4           Range:         608-795         650-795         711-795         94%           100%         97%         77%         11-795         94%           90         72         11         94%         94%           90         72         11         94%         94%           90         72         11         94%         94%           90         72         11         94%         94%           90         72         11         94%         94%           90         72         11         94%         94%           90         72         11         704         704         704           1205-06         Steat         3-4         4         704         704           12         93%         76%         20%         706%         13%         704           12         83%         17%         8%         93         97%         76%         12%           12         83%         17%         8%         93         97%         71%         96%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795       94%       67%         100%       97%       77%       12%       94%       67%       67%         90       72       11       12%       67%       67%       67%         90       72       11       70%       12%       67%       67%         90       72       11       70%       12%       67%       67%         90       72       11       70%       12%       67%       67%         90       72       11       70%       12%       70%       12%       70%       12%       70%       12%       70%       12%       70%       12%       70%       12%       70%       70%       12%       70%       70%       12%       70% <t< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795       94%       94%       67%       12%         100%       97%       12%       94%       67%       12%</td></t<>	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795       94%       94%       67%       12%         100%       97%       12%       94%       67%       12%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 655	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	599-780						
	100%					0.0%					
		85%				90%	68%				
			62%				08%				
				8	3%			19%			
Number of Students:		81	59		8						
		-			-						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e				2004-05 \$	School Year				
Student Gro	un	Total	Percentage	-		Total		scoring at le			
	чр —	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		95	85%	62%	8%						
Female		49	84%	53%	2%						
Male		46	87%	72%	15%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native	2		<u>-</u>	—						
Black or African American		1	<del>.</del>								
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	Other	2	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander						This tool			05		
White		90	84%	62%		Inis test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	60%	20%						
General-Education Student	ts	83	90%	69%	10%						
Students with Disabilities		12	50%	17%	0%						
English Proficient		95	85%	62%	8%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantage	ed	25	84%	52%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	70	86%	66%	11%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		85%	62%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
NOTES											

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4 Tested				2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 655	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 70	)5-785						
	100%	94%				93%					
						5570					
			57%				60%				
				69	%			12%			
Number of Students:		112	68	7	,						
			h a al Va au				Cabaal Vaar				
Results by		2005-06 So					School Year				
<b>Student Group</b>		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le			
All Students		Tested	2-4	3-4	4 6%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
Female		<b>119</b> 65	<b>94%</b> 95%	<b>57%</b> 66%	<b>6%</b> 8%						
Male		54	93%	46%	4%	•••••		••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	10	54	9370	4070	4 /0						
Black or African American		1	· ·····		······-	•••••					
Hispanic or Latino		<u>+</u> 2	· ····· -		······-	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	•••••	•••••					
Pacific Islander		4	-	-	-						
White		112	95%	57%	6%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	86%	57%	0%						
General-Education Students		106	97%	62%	7%						
Students with Disabilities		13	69%	15%	0%						
English Proficient		119	94%	57%	6%						
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••							
Economically Disadvantaged		29	86%	28%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		90	97%	67%	8%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		119	94%	57%	6%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent							200	4-0J.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> :	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public						
		Percentage s	age scoring at level(s):         3-4       4         30       650-780       696-780         46%       5%       5%         55       6       55         6       55       6         Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         87%       46%       5%			Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 648	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 69	96-780							
	100%											
		87%				87%						
			4604				60%					
			40%									
				59	%			13%				
		104			<b>_</b>							
Number of Students:		104	55	E E	)							
Results by Student Group		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		119	<b>87</b> %	<b>46</b> %	5%							
Female		65	86%	40%	6%							
Male		54	89%	54%	4%							
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve											
Black or African American		1			_							
Hispanic or Latino		3			_							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	4	_	_	_							
Pacific Islander		÷				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>			
White		111	86%	47%	5%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		8	100%	38%	0%							
General-Education Students		106	89%	49%	6%							
Students with Disabilities		13	77%	23%	0%							
English Proficient		118	-	-	-							
Limited English Proficient		1			_							
Economically Disadvantaged		29	79%	34%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		90	90%	50%	7%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		119	87%	46%	5%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 660	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7	12-790						
	100%	95%				92%					
			72%			9270					
							56%				
				7	%			8%			
Number of Students:		107	81		8						
					-						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e					School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage			Total		scoring at le			
-		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		113	95%	72%	7%						
Female		51	94%	75%	10%	••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Male		62	95%	69%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e				•••••						
Black or African American					•••••	••••••					
Hispanic or Latino		4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>-</u>						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	-	-						
Pacific Islander		4.07	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				t was not giv	en in 2004	-05		
White		107	94%	72%	7%		t was not giv	2001	00.		
Small Group Totals		6 100	100% 99%	67% 79%	<u>17%</u> 8%						
General-Education Students		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••					
Students with Disabilities		13	62%	15%	0%						
English Proficient		113	95%	72%	7%	•••••					
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		24	92%	58%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		89	96%	75%	8%						
Migrant					••••••						
Not Migrant		113	95%	72%	7%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentages	scoring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 665	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800						
	100%	95%									
			77%			87%					
							56%				
				2	2%						
				2	2 70			12%			
Number of Students:		105	85		24						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		110	95%	77%	22%						
Female		50	98%	74%	14%						
Male		60	93%	80%	28%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino		3	_								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>-</u>		
White		105	95%	78%	22%	I his tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	60%	20%						
General-Education Students		96	100%	86%	25%						
Students with Disabilities		14	64%	14%	0%						
English Proficient		110	95%	77%	22%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		23	96%	65%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		87	95%	80%	26%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		110	95%	77%	22%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	_	_	-	This test	his test was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Glaue 7 Equivalent									

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 654	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790					
	100%	94%				91%				
						5170				
			43%				49%			
				7	'%			5%		
Number of Students:		103	47		8					
			heel Veer							
Results by		2005-06 Se	Percentage			School Year		vol(s).		
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	e scoring at level(s 3–4		
 All Students		109	94%	<b>43%</b>	 7%	Testeu	2 4	5 4	4	
Female		53	92%	43%	8%					
Male	•••••	56	96%	43%	7%		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino		1	_			New ass	essments fo	r elementar	у-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_		dle-level Eng		age	
Pacific Islander		-					mathematic tered in 200			
White		104	94%	41%	7%		sessments c			
Small Group Totals		5	100%	80%	20%		ed to results		-	
General-Education Students		97	100%	48%			tered assessi	•		
Students with Disabilities		12	50%	0%	0%					
English Proficient		109	94%	43%	7%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		22	95%	23%						
Not Disadvantaged		87	94%	48%	8%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		109	94%	43%	7%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage se	616-775 650-775 701-775 13%				coring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 662	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%	93%								
			69%			85%				
							54%			
				1	2%			10%		
Number of Students:		102	76		13					
			h a al Ma a				Cabaal Maan			
Results by							School Year			
<b>Student Group</b>		Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	scoring at lev		
All Students		110	93%	<sup>3-4</sup>	4 12%	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	
Female		53	87%	66%	13%					
Male			98%		11%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	1	_	_						
Black or African American		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••					
Hispanic or Latino		1			-	New as	sessments fo	r elementar	y-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	3	_		_	and mic	dle-level Eng	glish langua	ge	
Pacific Islander		د د	-		-		d mathematic			
White		105	92%	68%	11%		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	20%		ssessments c		-	
General-Education Students		98	99%	78%	13%		<ul> <li>compared to results from previously</li> <li>administered assessments.</li> </ul>			
Students with Disabilities		12	42%	0%	0%					
English Proficient		110	93%	69%	12%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		22	86%	50%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		88	94%	74%	15%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		110	93%	69%	12%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Distric	his District				NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 76	Range:	44-100	65-10	3 00	35-100						
<ul><li>■ 2005-06</li><li>■ 2004-05</li></ul>	100%	97% 97%	80% <sup>8</sup>		9% 33%	91% 91%	64% 68	% 18'	25%		
Number of Students:		108 116	89 1	101	32 39						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	:hool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r			
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		111	97%	80%	<b>29</b> %	119	97%	85%	33%		
Female		54	96%	74%	20%	44	100%	84%	23%		
Male		57	98%	86%	37%	75	96%	85%	39%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e	1	_		_						
Black or African American						1					
Hispanic or Latino		1	_	_	-	4	-	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
White		106	97%	79%	28%	113	97%	85%	33%		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	40%	6	100%	83%	33%		
General-Education Students		99	99%	86%	32%	98	100%	93%	39%		
Students with Disabilities		12	83%	33%	0%	21	86%	48%	5%		
English Proficient		111	97%	80%	29%	119	97%	85%	33%		
imited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••	•••••	••••••		
conomically Disadvantaged		23	100%	74%	17%	26	92%	73%	23%		
Not Disadvantaged		88	97%	82%	32%	93	99%	88%	35%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	111	97%	80%	29%	119	97%	85%	33%		

NOTES


Other	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				0			
Regents Science	0				0			

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	st:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	1	21	61	12	95	665	
Feb 2004	9	35	56	13	113	654	
Feb 2003	1	24	56	30	111	669	




### Number of students scoring at each performance level:


Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	6	63	41	10	120	697	
Jan 2004	7	62	36	5	110	692	
Jan 2003	8	36	64	21	129	709	

## **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	13         59         20         93           30         64         20         114				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	13	59	20	93	662	
May 2004	0	30	64	20	·	655	
May 2003	3	15	60	33	111	665	



### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	16	52	46	6	120	711	
May 2004	11	36	53	9	109	718	
May 2003	7	52	62	11	132	722	

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohoi	ť*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	102	88%	87%	50%	108	88%	85%	47%	
Female	43	86%	86%	58%	55	89%	87%	49%	
Male	59	90%	88%	44%	53	87%	83%	45%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	•••••			•••••	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-		•••••	•••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				1	•••••			
Pacific Islander		-			۲	_			
White	99	_		-	104	_	_	_	
Small Group Totals	102	88%	87%	50%	108	88%	85%	47%	
General-Education Students	88	97%	97%	58%	97	98%	95%	53%	
Students with Disabilities	14	36%	29%	0%	11	0%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	102	88%	87%	50%	108	88%	85%	47%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••		•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	13	77%	77%	31%					
Not Disadvantaged	89	90%	89%	53%		•••••	•••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	102	88%	87%	50%		•••••			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

 data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.
 2002 Cohort\*
 2001 Cohort\*

	Number	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1			_	2	_	_	
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	T	_	_	-	2	_	_	-

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2002 Cohort</li> <li>2001 Cohort</li> </ul>	100%	92% 89%	91% 81%	46%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Cohor	ťť			2001 Cohort*			
_	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	102	<b>92</b> %	<b>91</b> %	<b>46</b> %	108	89%	<b>81</b> %	31%
Female	43	93%	91%	49%	55	87%	76%	20%
Male	59	92%	92%	44%	53	91%	85%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	–	-
White	99	-	-	-	104	-	-	
Small Group Totals	102	92%	91%	46%	108	89%	81%	31%
General-Education Students	88	97%	97%	52%	97	98%	90%	34%
Students with Disabilities	14	64%	57%	7%	11	9%	0%	0%
English Proficient	102	92%	91%	46%	108	89%	81%	31%
Limited English Proficient	•••••						•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	13	85%	85%	15%				
Not Disadvantaged	89	93%	92%	51%			•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	102	92%	91%	46%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	e suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	-	_	3	_	_	

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

4

## **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.


### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:

5% 5%

2% 3%

2% 1%



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	102	87%	4%	2%	2%	5%
	2001	108	<b>87</b> %	5%	1%	3%	5%
Female	2002	43	88%	2%	2%	0%	7%
	2001	55	87%	5%	2%	0%	5%
Male	2002	59	86%	5%	2%	3%	3%
	2001	53	87%	4%	0%	6%	4%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	2001	2	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	99	_	_	_	_	
	2001	104	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	102	87%	4%	2%	2%	5%
·	2001	108	87%	5%	1%	3%	5%
General-Education Students	2002	88	94%	0%	0%	2%	3%
	2001	97	97%	0%	0%	1%	2%
Students with Disabilities	2002	14	43%	29%	14%	0%	14%
	2001	11	0%	45%	9%	18%	27%
English Proficient	2002	102	87%	4%	2%	2%	5%
5	2001	108	87%	5%	1%	3%	5%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	13	77%	15%	0%	0%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	89	89%	2%	2%	2%	4%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	102	87%	4%	2%	2%	

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 88% 72% 6% 2% 1% 1% 1% 5% 5%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	108	88%	<b>6%</b>	1%	1%	5%
Female	55	87%	5%	2%	0%	5%
Male	53	89%	6%	0%	2%	4%
American Indian	1	-	_	-	_	-
or Alaska Native						
Black or	2	_	-	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	1	_	-	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	104	_	-	_	_	
Small Group Totals	108	88%	6%	1%	1%	5%
General-Education Students	97	98%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Students with Disabilities	11	0%	55%	9%	9%	27%
English Proficient	108	88%	6%	1%	1%	5%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	12	67%	8%	0%	0%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	96	91%	5%	1%	1%	2%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	108	88%	6%	1%	1%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.