

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL
SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 090201040000
Superintendent PAUL SAVAGE II
Telephone (518) 834-2845
Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	82	101	90
Grade 1	67	87	94
Grade 2	87	69	71
Grade 3	78	77	75
Grade 4	100	77	84
Grade 5	116	103	76
Grade 6	105	123	107
Ungraded Elementary	28	27	0
Grade 7	146	147	158
Grade 8	107	122	121
Grade 9	128	119	135
Grade 10	109	105	93
Grade 11	96	104	107
Grade 12	128	106	116
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1377	1367	1327

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	18	17
Grade 8			
English	18	19	15
Mathematics	13	15	13
Science	17	17	14
Social Studies	18	18	16
Grade 10			
English	9	15	15
Mathematics	19	15	15
Science	12		19
Social Studies	18	19	16

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	284	21%	242	18%	278	21%
Reduced-Price Lunch	168	12%	159	12%	139	10%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	9	1%	15	1%	12	1%
Hispanic or Latino	9	1%	6	0%	7	1%
Asian or Native	10	1%	9	1%	10	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1348	98%	1336	98%	1298	98%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	73	N/A	87	6%	70	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	291	329	502
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	2%	2%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	2	2
Percentage of Total	2%	2%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	33%	34%	33%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	116	124	125
Total Other Professional Staff	15	14	18
Total Paraprofessionals*	35	36	42
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	5	5	5

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math Good Standing	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	••••••	_	_ _	••••••	
White	~	~	••••••	V	~	•••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	✓ SH		_	_		
Limited English Proficient		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	···· •································	
Economically Disadvantaged	/	V	••••••	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1	

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 Improvement (Year 4) ∧

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	est Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (648:622)	✓	<u>/</u>	96%	<u>/</u>	144	118		2000 07	
Ethnicity						,		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Hispanic or Latino (6:5)	_	_	-	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- -	
White (633:608)	/	/	96%	/	144	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (152:113)	✓ SH	V	95%	✓ SH	73	112	66	86	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••••				•••••	•••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (242:230)	/	V	95%	V	124	115	•••••••••	•••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4								

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (643:618)	Janus -	✓ Criterion	96%	- Criterion	145	82	2005-00	2000-07
			90%		145			
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (5:5)	_	_	-	-	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	-	_	-	_	-	-		-
White (630:606)	/	/	97%	/	144	82	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (120:115)	✓ SH	✓	96%	✓ SH	75	76	53	88
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (235:228)	V	/	97%	V	125	79		•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

'


NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perf	ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (215:209)	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07	
	✓	Qualified	<u> </u>	98%	<u> </u>	179	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	- -	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		_	_	-	-	- -	- -	- -	
White (211:205)		Qualified	~	98%	V	180	100	• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (48:45)		Qualified	/	94%	~	160	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)					••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (72:71)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	99%	~	166	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Final AYP Determination	1 1 c	of 1							

AYP Status



Made AYF



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (114:111)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
	✓	<u> </u>	96%	<u>/</u>	173	144		2000 0/	
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	
White (108:105)	V	/	96%	V	172	144	•••••••	*** ***********************************	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (16:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)				•					
Economically Disadvantaged (19:24)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?


	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
			lested	4	inuex	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (114:111)	/	/	96%	V	176	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		_	_	_	_	_	-	_
White (108:105)	/	/	96%	V	175	136	•••••••••	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)				•••••				•••
Economically Disadvantaged (19:24)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status









 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (110)	~	✓ 70% 55%		55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (1)		_	-	-	_	- -		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)				•				
White (108)	• • • • • • • •	~	70%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (24)		-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (16)		-	-	-	_	-		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

AUSABLE FORKS PRIMARY SCHOOL AUSABLE VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL

AUSABLE VALLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL

KEESVILLE PRIMARY SCHOOL

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	56%		79
Grade 4	54%		83
Grade 5	72%		78
Grade 6	61%		106
Grade 7	47%		149
Grade 8	41%		119
Mathematics			
Grade 3	64%		77
Grade 4	68%		85
Grade 5	68%		77
Grade 6	71%		105
Grade 7	34%		147
Grade 8	48%		120
Science			
Grade 4	94%		86
Grade 8	71%		121
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	74%		124
Mathematics	77%		124
	Percentage who gradua		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	 100%
2002 Cohort	78%	l I	124

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	79	84%	56%	3%						
Female	45	89%	67%	4%						
Male	34	76%	41%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_	_	_	•••••					
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	-	_	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	••••			•••••					
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_						
White	75	-	-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	79	84%	56%	3%						
General-Education Students	62	97%	65%	3%						
Students with Disabilities	17	35%	24%	0%						
English Proficient	79	84%	56%	3%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••••		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	40	83%	48%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	39	85%	64%	3%	••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	79	84%	56%	3%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 1	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4)4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	77	90%	64%	1%					
Female	45	91%	67%	2%					
Male	32	88%	59%	0%		••••	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino			•••••		••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1			_					
Pacific Islander	т		_ 	_ 					
White	74	_	_	_	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	77	90%	64%	1%					
General-Education Students	61	97%	70%	2%					
Students with Disabilities	16	63%	38%	0%	••••				
English Proficient	77	90%	64%	1%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	38	87%	58%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	39	92%	69%	3%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	77	90%	64%	1%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			To	tal	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4	
83	83%	54%	4%						
39	87%	62%	5%						
44	80%	48%	2%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
1	_		_						
•••••		•••••••			New asso	essments fo	r elementa	ry-	
••••••	••••	•••••••••			and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
82	_	_	-						
83	83%	54%	4%					,	
65	95%	66%	5%		•		•	ously	
18	39%	11%	0%	• • • • • • • •	administ	ered assessi	nents.		
83	83%	54%	4%						
••••••	••••	••••••••							
34	74%	44%	3%						
49	90%	61%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
83	83%	54%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 83 39 44 1 82 83 65 18 83 34 49	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 83 83% 39 87% 44 80% 1 - 82 - 83 83% 65 95% 18 39% 83 83% 83 83% 34 74% 49 90%	Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 83 83% 54% 39 87% 62% 44 80% 48% 1 83 83% 54% 65 95% 66% 18 39% 11% 83 83% 54% 34 74% 44% 49 90% 61%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 83 83% 54% 4% 39 87% 62% 5% 44 80% 48% 2% 1 83 83% 54% 4% 65 95% 66% 5% 18 39% 11% 0% 83 83% 54% 4% 49 90% 61% 4%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): To Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Te 83 83% 54% 4% 39 87% 62% 5% 44 80% 48% 2% 1 83 83% 54% 4% 65 95% 66% 5% 18 39% 11% 0% 83 83% 54% 4% 34 74% 44% 3% 49 90% 61% 4%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 83 83% 54% 4% 39 87% 62% 5% 44 80% 48% 2% 1 New asse and midd arts and administer these assecompare administer 18 39% 11% 0% 83 83% 54% 4% 83 83% 54% 4% 84 74% 44% 3% 49 90% 61% 4%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 83 83% 54% 4% 39 87% 62% 5% 44 80% 48% 2% 1 New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 2006 these assessments of compared to results administered assessments and ministered assessments of and ministered in 2006 these assessments of administered assessments of admin	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at leve	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	<u> </u>	-	1	2-4	<u> </u>	_	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Tota	al	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Test	ed	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	85	85	85	93%	68%	11%						
Female	39	92%	67%	13%								
Male	46	93%	70%	9%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	1	-		_								
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	•••••	••••••	New assessments for elementary-							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	and middle-level English langu							
Pacific Islander						arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from						
White	84	-	_	-								
Small Group Totals	85	93%	68%	11%			essments c		,			
General-Education Students	67	99%	82%	13%		•	d to results red assessi	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	18	72%	17%	0%		ammiste	reu assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	85	93%	68%	11%								
Limited English Proficient	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	••••	•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	34	85%	44%	6%								
Not Disadvantaged	51	98%	84%	14%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	 85	93%	68%	11%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	1				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1			_	1	_		_	

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	86	100%	94%	49%	78	96%	91%	47%		
Female	39	100%	92%	44%	43	100%	98%	44%		
Male	47	100%	96%	53%	35	91%	83%	51%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	-	_	_	1	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••		••••••	•••••	••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••		
Pacific Islander										
White	85	-	_	-	77	-	_	-		
Small Group Totals	86	100%	94%	49%	78	96%	91%	47%		
General-Education Students	69	100%	97%	58%	67	100%	97%	52%		
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	82%	12%	11	73%	55%	18%		
English Proficient	86	100%	94%	49%	78	96%	91%	47%		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	34	100%	91%	24%	33	91%	82%	24%		
Not Disadvantaged	52	100%	96%	65%	45	100%	98%	64%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	86	100%	94%	49%	78	96%	91%	47%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	1				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1			_	1	_		_	

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	78	92%	72%	5%						
Female	41	98%	85%	5%						
Male	37	86%	57%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••							
Hispanic or Latino	1		_	_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	••••••		•••••					
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_						
White	76	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	78	92%	72%	5%						
General-Education Students	70	96%	74%	6%						
Students with Disabilities	8	63%	50%	0%						
English Proficient	78	92%	72%	5%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	27	96%	59%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged	51	90%	78%	6%	••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	78	92%	72%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	77	92%	68%	17%							
Female	40	98%	68%	15%							
Male	37	86%	68%	19%		••••	••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	1	_		_							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Pacific Islander	т		_ 								
White	75	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals	77	92%	68%	17%							
General-Education Students	69	97%	72%	17%							
Students with Disabilities	8	50%	25%	13%							
English Proficient	77	92%	68%	17%							
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	26	88%	54%	4%							
Not Disadvantaged	51	94%	75%	24%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	77	92%	68%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
106	95%	61%	8%							
51	94%	69%	10%							
55	96%	55%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1										
•••••••	••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••						
105	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
106	95%	61%	8%							
88	100%	73%	10%							
18	72%	6%	0%							
106	95%	61%	8%							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
39	92%	44%	3%							
67	97%	72%	12%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
106	95%	61%	8%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested 106 51 55 1 105 106 88 18 106 39 67	Total Percentage Tested 2-4 106 95% 51 94% 55 96% 1 - 105 - 106 95% 88 100% 18 72% 106 95% 106 95% 39 92% 67 97%	Tested 2-4 3-4 106 95% 61% 51 94% 69% 55 96% 55% 1 106 95% 61% 88 100% 73% 18 72% 6% 106 95% 61% 39 92% 44% 67 97% 72%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 106 95% 61% 8% 51 94% 69% 10% 55 96% 55% 7% 1 106 95% 61% 8% 88 100% 73% 10% 18 72% 6% 0% 106 95% 61% 8% 39 92% 44% 3% 67 97% 72% 12%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 106 95% 61% 8% 51 94% 69% 10% 55 96% 55% 7% 1 106 95% 61% 8% 88 100% 73% 10% 18 72% 6% 0% 106 95% 61% 8% 106 95% 61% 8% 39 92% 44% 3% 67 97% 72% 12%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 106 95% 61% 8% 51 94% 69% 10% 55 96% 55% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 106 95% 61% 8% 51 94% 69% 10% 55 96% 55% 7% 1 This test was not given in 2004 106 95% 61% 8% 88 100% 73% 10% 18 72% 6% 0% 106 95% 61% 8% 39 92% 44% 3% 67 97% 72% 12%			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	Tested 3	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This test	was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	105	91%	71%	13%				
Female	51	92%	75%	18%				
Male	54	91%	69%	9%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino		••••	•••••	••••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	•••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander								
White	104	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	105	91%	71%	13%				
General-Education Students	87	99%	84%	16%				
Students with Disabilities	18	56%	11%	0%				
English Proficient	105	91%	71%	13%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••	•••••	••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	38	82%	58%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	67	97%	79%	16%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	105	91%	71%	13%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 S	2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.		

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
149	92%	47%	3%							
72	99%	51%	6%							
77	86%	43%	1%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••			
••••••	••••	••••••								
1	_	·····	_	•••••						
4	••••	••••••		•••••						
1	_	_	_							
147	-	-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
149	92%	47%	3%							
128	98%	54%	4%							
21	52%	5%	0%							
149	92%	47%	3%							
••••••	••••••••	••••••								
54	80%	28%	0%							
95	99%	58%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
149	92%	47%	3%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••				
	Total Tested 149 72 77 1 1 147 149 128 21 149 54 95	Total Tested 2-4 149 92% 72 99% 77 86% 1 - 1 - 147 - 149 92% 128 98% 21 52% 149 92% 54 80% 95 99%	Tested 2-4 3-4 149 92% 47% 72 99% 51% 77 86% 43% 1 1 147 149 92% 47% 128 98% 54% 21 52% 5% 149 92% 47% 54 80% 28% 95 99% 58%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 149 92% 47% 3% 72 99% 51% 6% 77 86% 43% 1% 1 1 147 149 92% 47% 3% 128 98% 54% 4% 21 52% 5% 0% 149 92% 47% 3% 54 80% 28% 0% 95 99% 58% 5%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 149 92% 47% 3% 72 99% 51% 6% 77 86% 43% 1% 1 1 147 149 92% 47% 3% 128 98% 54% 4% 21 52% 5% 0% 149 92% 47% 3% 54 80% 28% 0% 95 99% 58% 5%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 149 92% 47% 3% 72 99% 51% 6% 77 86% 43% 1% 1 1 147 149 92% 47% 3% 128 98% 54% 4% 21 52% 5% 0% 149 92% 47% 3% 54 80% 28% 0% 95 99% 58% 5%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 149 92% 47% 3% 72 99% 51% 6% 77 86% 43% 1% 1 1 147 149 92% 47% 3% 128 98% 54% 4% 21 52% 5% 0% 149 92% 47% 3% 54 80% 28% 0% 95 99% 58% 5%			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 S C	2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0	2 4		-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	147	86%	34%	3%				
Female	74	88%	39%	3%				
Male	73	85%	29%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••					
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	·····	_	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	••••••		•••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	146	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	147	86%	34%	3%				
General-Education Students	125	91%	40%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	22	59%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	147	86%	34%	3%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	53	77%	26%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	94	91%	38%	4%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	147	86%	34%	3%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-	2004-05 School Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	119	92%	41%	3%					
Female	51	92%	41%	0%					
Male	68	91%	41%	4%			•	•	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	-	-	New	ı asses	sments for	elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1			_	and	middl	e-level Eng	ılish langu	age
Pacific Islander	т	_		_	arts	and m	nathematic	s were	
White	116	_	_	-			ed in 2006		
Small Group Totals	119	92%	41%	3%			essments c		•
General-Education Students	95	100%	49%	3%		•	to results ed assessr	•	ously
Students with Disabilities	24	58%	8%	0%	aum	iiriistei	eu assessi	nents.	
English Proficient	119	92%	41%	3%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	34	88%	21%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	85	93%	49%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	119	92%	41%	3%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-		-	3	-		-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	r			
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	120	84%	48%	2%						
Female	51	82%	45%	0%						
Male	69	86%	49%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	New a	ssessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				and m	iddle-level En	glish langu	age		
Pacific Islander	т		_ 	_	arts a	nd mathematio	cs were			
White	117	_	_	-		istered in 200				
Small Group Totals	120	84%	48%	2%		assessments o		,		
General-Education Students	95	96%	60%	2%		ared to results istered assess	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	25	40%	0%	0%	aumm	istereu assess	ments.			
English Proficient	120	84%	48%	2%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••		• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	37	70%	30%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	83	90%	55%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	120	84%	48%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3				3	_		_	

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	121	98%	71%	26%	134	95%	84%	40%	
Female	51	98%	65%	18%	65	97%	82%	35%	
Male	70	97%	76%	31%	69	93%	86%	45%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	-			•	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1		_	-	1	_	_	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	<u> </u>		•••••••	•••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander		.	. .						
White	118	-	_	-	133	_	_	-	
Small Group Totals	121	98%	71%	26%	134	95%	84%	40%	
General-Education Students	97	97%	79%	32%	116	98%	90%	44%	
Students with Disabilities	24	100%	38%	0%	18	72%	44%	17%	
English Proficient	121	98%	71%	26%	134	95%	84%	40%	
Limited English Proficient							•	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	37	97%	46%	8%	42	88%	74%	31%	
Not Disadvantaged	84	98%	82%	33%	92	98%	88%	45%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	121	98%	71%	26%	134	95%	84%	40%	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	005-06 School Year			2004-05 School Year			
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s):			(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Regents Science	0				0			


District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 3 24 39 13 661 39 49 11 103 Feb 2004 4 653 Feb 2003 0 46 55 16 117 658




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	5	66	49	5	125	694
Jan 2004	12	51	37	6	106	691
Jan 2003	7	46	42	5	100	694


District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 3 49 19 78 661 May 2005 66 19 100 May 2004 4 11 658 May 2003 1 17 67 30 115 664



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	9	41	72	4	126	714
May 2004	10	31	60	1	102	710
May 2003	10	29	55	6	100	718

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	t*		
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	124	83%	74%	22%	117	76%	65%	18%
Female	66	94%	88%	27%	69	70%	59%	19%
Male	58	71%	59%	16%	48	85%	73%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	-	1	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	_	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	•••••		•••••	•••••
White	118	82%	74%	21%	116		······	 –
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	33%	117	76%	65%	18%
General-Education Students	106	89%	82%	25%	93	89%	81%	23%
Students with Disabilities	18	50%	28%	0%	24	25%	4%	0%
English Proficient	124	83%	74%	22%	117	76%	65%	18%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	26	81%	62%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged	98	84%	78%	24%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	124	83%	74%	22%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	of Students 2-4 3-4 4				of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	124	85%	77%	23%	117	74%	68%	16%		
Female	66	91%	83%	21%	69	65%	59%	16%		
Male	58	78%	71%	24%	48	85%	81%	17%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	-	1	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	-		•••••	•••••	••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	<u> </u>	_			••••••	••••••		
White	118	84%	77%	21%	116	-	- -	–		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	50%	117	74%	68%	16%		
General-Education Students	106	89%	82%	26%	93	87%	83%	20%		
Students with Disabilities	18	61%	50%	0%	24	21%	13%	0%		
English Proficient	124	85%	77%	23%	117	74%	68%	16%		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	·····	•••••	•••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	26	85%	69%	23%						
Not Disadvantaged	98	85%	80%	22%	·····	•••••	••••••	••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	124	85%	77%	23%	·····	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number Number scoring at level			(s):	Number Number scori		ring at level	ing at level(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	124	78%	4%	4%	6%	7 %
	2001	117	66%	9%	2%	10%	14%
Female	2002	66	89%	0%	3%	5%	3%
	2001	69	65%	13%	1%	3%	17%
Male	2002	58	66%	9%	5%	9%	12%
	2001	48	67%	2%	2%	21%	8%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	1		_	_	····-	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2002	118	77%	4%	4%	7%	8%
	2001	116	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
•	2001	117	66%	9%	2%	10%	14%
General-Education Students	2002	106	82%	0%	5%	6%	8%
	2001	93	77%	0%	2%	9%	12%
Students with Disabilities	2002	18	56%	28%	0%	11%	6%
	2001	24	21%	42%	0%	17%	21%
English Proficient	2002	124	78%	4%	4%	6%	7%
	2001	117	66%	9%	2%	10%	14%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	26	58%	4%	8%	12%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	98	84%	4%	3%	5%	4%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	124	78%	4%	4%	6%	7%

NOTES

District AUSABLE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



District	
NY State	Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	119	71%	IEP Diploma	3%	0%	18%
Female	68	66%	13%	3%	0%	18%
Male	51	76%	2%	4%	0%	18%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	118	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	119	71%	8%	3%	0%	18%
General-Education Students	95	81%	0%	3%	0%	16%
Students with Disabilities	24	29%	42%	4%	0%	25%
English Proficient	119	71%	8%	3%	0%	18%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	17	47%	35%	6%	0%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	102	75%	4%	3%	0%	19%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	119	71%	8%	3%	0%	18%

NOTES