

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 270100010000 Superintendent RONALD LIMONCELLI Telephone (518) 843-5217 Grades PK-12, UE

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	31
Kindergarten	264	260	258
Grade 1	259	267	271
Grade 2	261	282	244
Grade 3	247	260	276
Grade 4	254	253	261
Grade 5	256	265	260
Grade 6	298	306	279
Ungraded Elementary	115	76	85
Grade 7	286	304	309
Grade 8	318	268	309
Grade 9	396	374	352
Grade 10	300	345	345
Grade 11	282	279	299
Grade 12	246	241	223
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	3782	3780	3771

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	19	20
Grade 8			
English	20	18	20
Mathematics	25	20	22
Science	22	18	22
Social Studies	23	19	22
Grade 10			
English	22	22	21
Mathematics	19	20	19
Science	23	23	23
Social Studies	19	17	17

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1325	35%	1292	34%	1084	29%
Reduced-Price Lunch	269	7%	287	8%	177	5%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	192	5%	203	5%	222	6%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	125	3%	130	3%	158	4%
Hispanic or Latino	1021	27%	1050	28%	1089	29%
Asian or Native	24	1%	23	1%	34	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2611	69%	2576	68%	2490	66%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		93%		92%
Student Suspensions	206	N/A	309	8%	286	8%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	865	926	1236
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	3%	3%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	3	3
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	3	7	7
Percentage of Total	1%	2%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	11%	11%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	312	314	314
Total Other Professional Staff	28	20	21
Total Paraprofessionals*	85	37	81
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

New York State Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to New York State districts)					
District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	n identified as a District in Need of Improvement					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above)	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that					

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		nprovement (Year 1) tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	Secondary Level		
	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 1)	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 1)		
	Math	Good Standing	Math	^	Good Standing	
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	^	Good Standing	
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received Titl	e I Part A Funding			

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2004-05 2005-06						
	YES	YES	YES					

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate			
All Students	v	v	v	x	X	V			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	<	✓	••••	–	–	••••			
Hispanic or Latino	 	<	••••	X	X	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	–	••••	-	–	••••			
White	<	~	••••	X	~	••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities	X	V		X	X				
Limited English Proficient	✓SH	✓SH	••••	–	–	••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	X	X	••••			
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	X 0 of 5	X 1 of 5	✔ 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Particip		Participation ² Test		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harb	or Target 2006–07		
All Students (1744:1669)	~	 ✓ 	98%	~	139	119				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (79:73)	<	~	99%	~	115	111				
Hispanic or Latino (529:497)	<	<	98%	 	118	117	••••••••••	•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	-	–	-	-	-	-		–		
White (1121:1084)	<	<	98%	 	149	119		•••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (346:321)	X	~	95%	X	71	116	77	84		
Limited English Proficient (95:79)	✓SH	~	98%	V SH	108	111	20	117		
Economically Disadvantaged (819:779)	~	~	98%	~	119	118	•••••••••			
Final AYP Determination	🗙 6 of 7	,								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	 Image: A start of the start of	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	J	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (1749:1655)	~	~	98%	 Image: A start of the start of	137	83		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (79:72)	~	<	97%	~	103	75		
Hispanic or Latino (540:493)	<	<	97%	 	112	81	••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (1115:1075)	<	<	98%	<	150	83		••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (346:317)	~	~	95%	~	81	80		
Limited English Proficient (93:78)	✓SH	<	96%	✓SH	59	75	51	73
Economically Disadvantaged (823:773)	~	 	98%	~	117	82	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 7 of 7							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (587:544)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	95%	~	168	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (22:20)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (177:156)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	93%	~	140	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (381:361)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	96%	~	182	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (117:100)		Qualified	~	86%	~	128	100		
Limited English Proficient (31:28)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (269:244)	• •• • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	94%	~	153	100	••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	if 1							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

Made AYP

AYP Status

- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance

criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	0 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
-	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (447:255)	X	X	92%	X	139	147	144	145
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (5:3)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (28:44)	X	–	-	X	95	139	112‡	106
Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	–	_
White (368:207)	X	X	94%	 ✓ 	149	146	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:31)	X	_	-	X	42	137	77‡	58
Limited English Proficient (2:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Economically Disadvantaged (34:54)	X	-	-	X	96	141	131‡	106
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 5							

NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (447:255)	X	X	93%	V	152	139		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (5:3)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (28:44)	X	–	-	X	98	131	106‡	108
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (180:207)	<	<	96%	 ✓ 	163	138	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:31)	X	-	-	X	74	129	108‡	87
Limited English Proficient (2:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (34:54)	X	-	-	X	104	133	121‡	114
Final AYP Determination	X 1 of 5							

NOTES

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Comparison of the count of

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (282)	~	~	68%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (6)		-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (45)		X	44%	55%	48%	45%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (231)	••••••	<	74%	55%		•••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (55)		×	33%	55%	38%	34%
Limited English Proficient (5)		-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (46)		X	52%	55%	55%	53%
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
▲ Good Standing	
5 schools identified 71% of total	
CLARA S. BACON SCHOOL	
MARIE CURIE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
RAPHAEL J. MCNULTY ACADEMY FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES	
AND LITERACY	
WILLIAM B. TECLER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
WILLIAM BARKLEY SCHOOL	
Planning for Restructuring	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
1 school identified 14% of total	1 school identified 14% of total
LYNCH MIDDLE SCHOOL	AMSTERDAM HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	f students that above Level 3	Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%		
Grade 3	58%		257		
Grade 4	51%		244		
Grade 5	63%		274		
Grade 6	54%		261		
Grade 7	52%		297		
Grade 8	34%		300		
Mathematics					
Grade 3	73%		277		
Grade 4	69%		263		
Grade 5	58%		278		
Grade 6	39%		269		
Grade 7	38%		310		
Grade 8	34%		308		
Science					
Grade 4	85%		258		
Grade 8	64%		297		
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort		
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%		
English	54%		307		
Mathematics	61%		307		
	Percentage c	2002			
	who graduate		Cohort		
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%		
2002 Cohort	58%		307		

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 657	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 73	30-780						
	100%					0.2%					
		88%				92%	69%				
			58%				69%				
				4	%			7%			
Number of Students:		225	148	1	0						
		223	140	×							
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		257	88%	58%	4%						
Female		139	87%	63%	3%						
Male		118	88%	52%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		16									
Hispanic or Latino		75	85%	44%	1%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ									
White		165	89%	64%	5%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		17	82%	59%	0%						
General-Education Students		222	92%	65%	5%						
Students with Disabilities		35	60%	9%	0%						
English Proficient		257	88%	58%	4%						
imited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
Economically Disadvantaged		120	81%	43%	1%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	137	93%	70%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	257	88%	58%	4%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent		_		_		was not yn		/4-0J.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	20	18	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 668	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	92%				94%					
		5270	73%				81%				
								25%			
				10	5%						
Number of Students:		256	202		5						
		250	202								
Results by		2005–06 S				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group	`	Total Percentage scoring at			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		277	92%	73%	16%						
Female		149	94%	71%	10%	. <mark>.</mark>	•••••				
Male		128	91%	75%	23%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American			. .								
Hispanic or Latino		94	87%	60%	12%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			05		
White		166	96%	80%	19%		st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		17	88%	76%	12%						
General-Education Students		234	95%	79%	18%						
Students with Disabilities		43	79%	42%	7%						
English Proficient		259	94%	76%	17%						
Limited English Proficient		18	67%	28%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		140	87%	59%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged		137	98%	87%	20%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		277	92%	73%	16%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	ring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	_	This test	test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 649	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%					91%					
		85%				9178	69%				
			51%				0570				
				4	%			9%			
Number of Students:		207	124		9						
Number of Students.		201	124		5						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Yea	r			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		244	85%	51%	4%						
Female		123	84%	47%	5%						
Male		121	86%	55%	2%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American				<u>-</u>							
Hispanic or Latino		61	75%	41%	2%		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	-	3	_	_	_		dle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander							l mathematio tered in 200		rom		
White		169	90%	55%	4%		sessments o				
Small Group Totals		14	64%	43%	7%				-		
General-Education Students		203	89%	58%	4%		 compared to results from previously administered assessments. 				
Students with Disabilities		41	66%	17%	0%						
English Proficient		243		·····-							
Limited English Proficient		1	-	_	-	_					
Economically Disadvantaged		131	76%	37%	2%			••••••			
Not Disadvantaged		113	96%	66%	5%						
Migrant								••••••			
Not Migrant		244	85%	51%	4%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	_			0				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	14	8	7	N/A	12	4	2	N/A	
Grade 4									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 663	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
	100%	00%				93%					
		88%	69%				78%				
								26%			
				1	0%						
Number of Students:		232	232 182 27								
Poculto by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
Results by		Total		e scoring at	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		263	88%	69%	10%						
Female		128	86%	67%	9%						
Male		135	90%	71%	12%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		11									
Hispanic or Latino		79	80%	61%	5%	New ass	sessments fo	r elementai	ſy-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	3	_	_	_		Idle-level Eng	, ,	age		
Pacific Islander							l mathematic				
White		170	93%	75%	12%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		14	79%	50%	14%		ssessments c ed to results				
General-Education Students		215	91%	73%	13%		tered assessi	•	Justy		
Students with Disabilities		48	77%	50%	0%	adminis		nemu.			
English Proficient		246	90%	72%	11%						
Limited English Proficient		17	59%	29%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		145	82%	60%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged		118	96%	81%	12%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		263	88%	69%	10%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent								

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distric	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 78	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
2005-06	100%	96% 97%	85% 8		2% 40%	97% 95%	86% 80	^{1%}	[%] 42%
2004-05									
Number of Students:		248 271	219 2	237 1	09 111				
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		258	96%	85%	42%	279	97%	85%	40 %
Female		126	97%	85%	40%	132	97%	80%	35%
Male		132	95%	85%	44%	147	97%	89%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive					2	-	-	-
Black or African American		11	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		77	91%	68%	29%	80	93%	73%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	167	99%	93%	50%	186	99%	90%	51%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	14	93%	79%	29%	13	100%	92%	54%
General-Education Students		214	95%	85%	44%	228	98%	89%	46%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	44	100%	84%	34%	51	92%	67%	14%
English Proficient		242	97%	87%	44%	259	99%	88%	42%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	16	88%	56%	13%	20	75%	40%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged		141	93%	78%	38%	125	95%	75%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	117	100%	93%	48%	154	99%	93%	53%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	258	96%		42%	279	97%		40%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S a	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	ι(s):
	Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Distri	ct		NY State Public					
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	608-795	650-7	795	711-795					
100%	Q1%				94%				
	5170					67%			
		63%							
			1	9%			12%		
	248	173		26					
	2005-06 S	chool Yeau	r		2004-05	School Year			
				t level(s):				vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	274	91%	63%	9%					
	128	92%	62%	9%					
	146	89%	64%	10%		••••			
ve									
	9	100%	78%	0%					
	78	82%	42%	3%					
r	5	100%	100%	0%					
	-				This tos	t was not ai	in 2004	05	
	182	93%	70%	13%	This les	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
		0.001		100/					
	216	99%	(/ %	12%					
	58	60%	10%	0%					
	268	92%	65%	10%					
	6	17%	0%	0%					
	131	89%	53%	2%					
	143	92%	72%	16%					
	274	91%	63%	9%					
		Percentage s 2-4 Range: 608-795 100% 91% 248 248 248 2005-06 Se Total Tested 274 128 146 ve 9 78 7 5 182 216 58 268 6 131 143	2-4 3-4 Range: 608-795 650-7 100% 91% 63% 248 173 248 173 2005-06 Scb-ol Year 63% Total Percentage Tested 2-4 128 92% 146 89% ve 9 100% 78 82% 182 93% 216 99% 58 60% 268 92% 6 17% 131 89% 143 92%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ 7 100% 91% 63% 63% 63% 248 173 63% 63% 63% 63% 248 173 63% 63% 91% 63% 91% 63% 91% 91% 63% 91%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 608-795 650-795 711-795 100% 91% 63% 9% 248 173 26 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 274 91% 63% 9% 128 92% 62% 9% 146 89% 64% 10% ve 9 100% 78% 0% 78 82% 42% 3% 78 82% 42% 3% 79 100% 100% 0% 182 93% 70% 13% 216 99% 77% 12% 58 60% 10% 0% 2268 92% 65% 10% 268 92%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ $711-795$ 100% 91% 9% 9% 248 173 26 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 2774 91% 63% 9% 128 92% 62% 9% 146 89% 64% 10% ve 9 100% 78% 0% 182 93% 70% 13% 75 216 99% 77% 12% 75 268 92% 65% 10% 75% 268 92% 65% 10% 72% 6 17% 0% 9% 143 92% 72% 143 92% 72% 16% 72%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 608-795 650-795 711-795 Percentage scoring at level(s): 100% 91% 9% 63% 67% 67% 91% 9% 9% 248 173 26 67% 67% Zoo5-06 School Year Zoo4-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total Percentage 128 92% 62% 9% 100% 2-4 2-4 2-4 146 89% 64% 10% 9 100% 13% 16% 10%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 608-795 650-795 711-795 94% 94% 67% 12% 100% 91% 93% 94% 94% 12% 12% 12% 248 173 26 2004-05 School Year 12% 12% 12% 701 Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at le	

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	9	8	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780						
100%					0.0%					
	85%				90%	690/				
		58%				08%				
			1	2%			19%			
	236	161		23						
	250	101		5						
	2005–06 S	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	278	85%	58%	12%						
	126	86%	58%	9%						
	152	84%	58%	14%						
ve										
	9	89%	22%	0%						
	87	72%	34%	5%						
	5	100%	80%	0%						
								05		
	177	90%	71%	16%	Inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	219	93%	69%	15%						
	59	56%	15%	0%						
	262	89%	61%	13%						
	16	19%	0%	0%						
	139	80%	42%	5%						
	139	90%	73%	19%						
	278	85%	58%	12%						
		Percentage s 2-4 Range: 619-780 100% 85% 236 2005-06 Sc Total Tested 278 126 152 ve 9 87 5 177 219 59 262 16 139 139	2-4 3-4 Range: 619-780 650-7 100% 85% 58% 100% 85% 58% 236 161 236 161 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 126 86% 152 84% ve 9 89% 87 72% 5 100% 10% 177 90% 93% 219 93% 59 59 56% 262 139 80% 139	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 6 100% 85% 58% 1 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 236 161 3 126 86% 58% 126 86% 58% 152 84% 58% 152 84% 58% 9 89% 22% 87 72% 34% 5 100% 80% 177 90% 71% 219 93% <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 100% 85% 58% 12% 236 161 33 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 12% 126 86% 58% 9% 152 84% 58% 14% ve 9 89% 22% 0% 152 84% 58% 14% ve 9 89% 22% 0% 152 84% 58% 14% 5% 152 84% 58% 14% 5% 16 100% 80% 0% 0% 16 19% 0% 0% 5% 139 80% 42% 5% 5% 139 90%<</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(\$): Percentage scoring at level(\$): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 100% 85% 58% 12% 90% 90% 236 161 33 33 90% 90% 90% 236 161 33 33 2004-05 Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 70% Total Tested 70% 10%</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 100% 85% 90% 68% 68% 100% 85% 58% 12% 90% 68% 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704<td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 68% 68% 100% 85% 58% 12% 90% 68% 19% 236 161 33 30% 19% 19% 19% 236 161 33 704-05 500-710 19% 19% 2005-06 School Year 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al 2-4 3-4 4 704al 2-4</td></td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 100% 85% 58% 12% 236 161 33 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 12% 126 86% 58% 9% 152 84% 58% 14% ve 9 89% 22% 0% 152 84% 58% 14% ve 9 89% 22% 0% 152 84% 58% 14% 5% 152 84% 58% 14% 5% 16 100% 80% 0% 0% 16 19% 0% 0% 5% 139 80% 42% 5% 5% 139 90%<	Percentage scoring at level(\$): Percentage scoring at level(\$): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 100% 85% 58% 12% 90% 90% 236 161 33 33 90% 90% 90% 236 161 33 33 2004-05 Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 70% Total Tested 70% 10%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 100% 85% 90% 68% 68% 100% 85% 58% 12% 90% 68% 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 704 <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 68% 68% 100% 85% 58% 12% 90% 68% 19% 236 161 33 30% 19% 19% 19% 236 161 33 704-05 500-710 19% 19% 2005-06 School Year 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al 2-4 3-4 4 704al 2-4</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 68% 68% 100% 85% 58% 12% 90% 68% 19% 236 161 33 30% 19% 19% 19% 236 161 33 704-05 500-710 19% 19% 2005-06 School Year 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al Percentage scoring at level(s): 704al 2-4 3-4 4 704al 2-4		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 648	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785						
	100%	00%				93%					
		89%				55%					
			54%				60%				
				11	1%			12%			
Number of Students:		231	141	2	29						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		261	89%	54%	11%						
Female		124	92%	64%	13%						
Male		137	85%	45%	9%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		13	77%	23%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		73	84%	38%	7%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r										
Pacific Islander									~-		
White		175	91%	63%	14%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		209	97%	65%	14%						
Students with Disabilities		52	56%	10%	0%						
English Proficient		257									
Limited English Proficient		4	_	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		120	80%	35%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged		141	96%	70%	18%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		261	89%	54%	11%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	Tested 1	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This test	2–4 was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	4 4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	10	7	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 642	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780						
	100%										
		81%				87%					
							60%				
			39%								
				7	'%			13%			
Number of Students:		219	104	-	19						
Results by		2005-06 S a	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		269	81 %	39 %	7%						
Female		130	79%	40%	3%						
Male		139	83%	37%	11%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American		13	46%	15%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		83	75%	25%	6%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er										
Pacific Islander						This tos	t was not ai	on in 2004	05		
White		173	87%	47%	8%		st was not giv	2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		24.6	0.00/	400/	00/						
General-Education Students		216	88%	48%	9%						
Students with Disabilities		53	53%	2%	0%						
English Proficient		257	84%	40%	7%						
Limited English Proficient		12	25%	8%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		126	72%	21%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		143	90%	54%	8%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		269	81%	39%	7%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct	NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 643	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 71	L2-790				
	100%	88%				92%			
			52%				56%		
				29	%			8%	
Number of Students:		261	154	5	j				
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		297	88%	52%	2%				
Female		130	91%	52%	1%				
Male		167	86%	52%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		18							
Hispanic or Latino		81	83%	30%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		ے 				······· · .			
White		196	91%	62%	3%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		20	80%	40%	0%				
General-Education Students		238	96%	61%	2%				
Students with Disabilities		59	56%	15%	0%				
English Proficient		292	89%	53%	2%				
Limited English Proficient		5	20%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		127	81%	32%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		170	93%	66%	3%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		297	88%	52%	2%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Accoccmonts	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost y	was not qiv	in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	۷	-		-		was not yiv		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	8	6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 636	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 69	93-800						
	100%										
		80%				87%					
							56%				
			38%								
				19	N/			12%			
Number of Chudente		240	110								
Number of Students:		249	118	2							
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		310	80%	38%	1%						
Female		137	83%	34%	1%						
Male		173	78%	42%	1%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		19									
Hispanic or Latino		87	69%	17%	0%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander									<u>-</u>		
White		202	86%	48%	2%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		21	76%	33%	0%						
General-Education Students		249	87%	45%	1%						
Students with Disabilities		61	52%	10%	2%						
English Proficient		298	82%	40%	1%						
Limited English Proficient		12	42%	0%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		133	71%	24%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		177	87%	49%	2%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		310	80%	38%	1%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S o	hool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7:	15-790					
100%					01%				
	82%				91%				
						49%			
		34%							
			39	%			5%		
	247	102	8	3	_				
				-					
	2005–06 S e				2004-05 S				
•	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	147	80%	25%	2%					
tive									
			<u>-</u>						
	82	76%	16%	0%				-	
er	4	_	_	_				age	
	203	86%	41%	4%					
	15	67%	40%	0%					
	242	92%	42%	3%			•	ously	
	58	41%	0%	0%		Ci Cu U33C331	nemu.		
	294	83%	35%	3%					
	6	50%	0%	0%					
	107	73%	14%	0%					
	193	88%	45%	4%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 602-790 100% 82% 247 247 247 2005-06 So Total Tested 300 153 147 tive 11 82 er 4 203 15 242 58 294 6 107	2-4 3-4 Range: 602-790 650-7 100% 82% 34% 247 102 247 102 2005-06 School Year 7 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 300 82% 153 84% 147 80% tive 11 203 86% 15 67% 242 92% 58 41% 294 83% 6 50%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ 73 100% 82% 34% 34% 247 102 8 247 102 8 247 102 8 247 102 8 Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 $3-4$ $3-4$ 300 82% 34% 153 84% 42% 147 80% 25% tive 11 - - 203 86% 41% 15 67% 40% 242 92% 42% 58 41% 0% 294 83% 35% 6 50% 0%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 602-790 650-790 715-790 100% 82% 34% 3% 247 102 8 247 102 8 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 300 82% 34% 3% 153 84% 42% 3% 147 80% 25% 2% 147 80% 25% 2% tive 11 - - 203 86% 41% 4% 15 67% 40% 0% 242 92% 42% 3% 58 41% 0% 0% 294 83% 35% 3% 58 41% 0% 0% 294 83% 35% 3%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at $ vel(s) $: 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 602-790 650-790 715-790 100% 82% 34% 91% 34% 3% 247 102 8 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total 300 82% 34% 3% 163 11 - - - 82 203 86% 41% 3% administ 15 67% 40% 0% administ 203 86% 41% 4% administ 15 67% 40% 0% administ 242 92% 42% 3% administ 242 92% 42% 3% administ 58 41% 0% 0% administ 58 41% 0% 0%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 49% 100% 82% 34% 91% 49% 247 102 8 91% 49% Zat7 102 8 $2004-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $-2-4$ 300 82% 34% 3% -4 153 84% 42% 3% -4 147 80% 25% 2% -4 203 86% 41% 4% $ 203$ 86% 41% 4% $ 203$ 86% 41% 4% $ 203$ 86% 41% 4% $ -$	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 100% 82% 34% 49% 247 102 8 49% 247 102 8 91% 247 102 8 91% 247 102 8 102 $2005-06$ School Year $2004-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $-2-4$ $3-4$ 300 82% 34% 3% -49% -49% 113 84% 2% 3% -43 -43 111 $ 82$ 76% 16% 0% 3% $ 111$ $ -$	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2				0				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	9	6	4	N/A	11	8	5	N/A	
Grade 8									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 636	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%									
		77%				85%				
							54%			
			34%							
				4	%			10%		
Number of Students:		237	105	1	.3					
							Seheel Veer			
Results by		2005-06 S o					School Year		(a) (a)	
Student Group		Total Tested	-	rcentage scoring at lev		Total	-	scoring at lev		
All Students	1 -	308	2-4 77%	3-4 34%	4 4%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
Female		157	81%	38%	4%					
Male	•••••	151	73%	30%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska N	ative	_								
Black or African American	•••••	9	-		-					
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	92	62%	12%	1%	New as	sessments fo	r elementar	у-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	4	_			and mic	Idle-level Eng	glish langua	ge	
Pacific Islander			-		-		l mathematic			
White		203	85%	44%	6%		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		13	54%	31%	0%		ssessments c		-	
General-Education Students		251	85%	41%	5%		ed to results tered assessi	•	Justy	
Students with Disabilities		57	40%	2%	0%	adminis	10100 0330331	nents.		
English Proficient		295	79%	35%	4%					
Limited English Proficient		13	31%	8%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged		115	65%	12%	1%					
Not Disadvantaged		193	84%	47%	6%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		308	77%	34%	4%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distric	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 69	Range:	44-100	65-1	8 00	5-100				
 ■ 2005-06 2004-05 	100%	90% 95%	64%	76% 1	30% 6%	91% 91%	64% 68	%	_% 25%
		200, 220	100	100	10 70		_		
Number of Students:		268 238	190 1	192 2	18 76				
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
Student Group		Total	Percentag	le scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		297	90%	64%	16%	251	95%	76%	30%
Female		155	90%	63%	16%	104	93%	71%	26%
Male		142	91%	65%	16%	147	96%	80%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve					1	-	-	-
Black or African American		10	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		87	80%	37%	1%	69	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	4	-	-	-			•••••	
White		196	96%	77%	23%	179	97%	83%	40%
Small Group Totals	•••••	14	71%	57%	7%	72	89%	60%	6%
General-Education Students		243	96%	75%	20%	210	98%	82%	35%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	54	65%	15%	0%	41	80%	46%	5%
English Proficient		284	93%	67%	17%	243	96%	79%	31%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	13	38%	0%	0%	8	63%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		109	82%	42%	3%	101	91%	60%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	188	95%	77%	24%	150	97%	87%	43%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	297	90%	64%		251	95%	 76%	30%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):): Total		Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т т	_							
Regents Science	0				0				

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	19	78	131	40	268	656	
Feb 2004	18	116	121	14	269	645	
Feb 2003	18	89	114	44	265	655	


	This School			NY State Pul	blic	
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	Percentage so):	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	100% 91% 91% 84%	37% 39% 389	6 7% 7% 3%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 459	6 9% 11% 8%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	23	142	76	19	260	692	
Jan 2004	27	156	97	21	301	691	
Jan 2003	46	135	99	10	290	688	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	d:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	13	40	150	75	278	660	
May 2004	10	53	161	53	277	655	
May 2003	13	60	167	46	286	652	

	This School			NY State Pub	olic		
	Percentage s	coring at level(s	5):	Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s)		
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100% 85% 81% 80%	40% 45% 40	% 3% ^{7%} 3%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 519	6 9% ^{13%} 9%	

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	39	120	99	8	266	707	
May 2004	61	114	120	23	318	704	
May 2003	60	120	110	8	298	702	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	62% 61%	54% 56%	17%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	

Results by	2002 Coho i	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	307	62 %	54%	17%	317	61%	56%	25%
Female	157	62%	51%	19%	156	65%	62%	29%
Male	150	63%	57%	15%	161	56%	49%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	–	6	33%	17%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	66	35%	27%	2%	56	43%	36%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-			•••••	••••••
White	235	70%	61%	22%	255	65%	61%	31%
Small Group Totals	6	67%	50%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	268	68%	61%	19%	256	68%	65%	30%
Students with Disabilities	39	21%	5%	0%	61	30%	15%	2%
English Proficient	300	64%	55%	17%	309	62%	57%	25%
Limited English Proficient	7	0%	0%	0%	8	13%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	42%	32%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	238	68%	60%	21%			••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	307	62%	54%	17%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the part smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	't *		
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District Percentage scoring at level(s):			NY State Public				
					Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	67% 62%	^{61%} 53%	13% 14%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%		

Results by	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	307	67 %	61%	13%	317	62 %	53%	14%
Female	157	69%	61%	11%	156	63%	54%	16%
Male	150	66%	60%	15%	161	61%	53%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	6	0%	0%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	66	36%	27%	2%	56	38%	30%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-			••••••	
White	235	76%	70%	17%	255	69%	60%	17%
Small Group Totals	6	67%	50%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
General-Education Students	268	73%	66%	15%	256	69%	61%	17%
Students with Disabilities	39	28%	21%	0%	61	33%	23%	2%
English Proficient	300	68%	61%	14%	309	63%	54%	14%
imited English Proficient	7	29%	29%	0%	8	13%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	46%	33%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	238	74%	68%	17%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	307	67%	61%	13%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Coho i	rt*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	oring at leve	.(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	T	_	-	_	0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	307	58%	2%	6 %	15%	19%
	2001	317	57%	2%	7%	14%	20%
Female	2002	157	61%	1%	6%	12%	20%
	2001	156	64%	1%	6%	10%	19%
Male	2002	150	55%	3%	5%	19%	18%
	2001	161	51%	3%	7%	18%	20%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	5	_	_	_		
African American	2001	6	17%	0%	17%	33%	33%
lispanic or Latino	2002	66	26%	6%	15%	20%	33%
	2001	56	30%	11%	5%	29%	25%
Asian or Native	2002	1	_	_	_	_	
awaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r						
Vhite	2002	235	68%	0%	3%	13%	16%
	2001	255	64%	0%	7%	10%	18%
Small Group Totals	2002	6	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	268	64%	0%	5%	13%	18%
	2001	256	66%	0%	7%	10%	17%
Students with Disabilities	2002	39	21%	13%	8%	31%	28%
	2001	61	21%	11%	7%	30%	31%
nglish Proficient	2002	300	59%	2%	6%	14%	19%
~	2001	309	59%	2%	6%	14%	19%
imited English Proficient	2002	7	14%	0%	0%	57%	29%
2	2001	8	13%	13%	13%	13%	50%
conomically Disadvantaged	2002	69	28%	4%	13%	29%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	238	67%	1%	3%	11%	17%
1igrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	307	58%	2%	6%	15%	19%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 65% 72% 2% 2% 7% 1% 3% 5% 24% 19%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	317	65%	2%	7%	3%	24%
Female	156	71%	1%	6%	1%	22%
Male	161	59%	2%	8%	5%	25%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	8	38%	0%	0%	13%	50%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	54	44%	7%	6%	6%	37%
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	255	70%	0%	7%	2%	20%
Small Group Totals			••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
General-Education Students	255	71%	0%	7%	1%	21%
Students with Disabilities	62	39%	8%	8%	11%	34%
English Proficient	310	66%	2%	7%	3%	23%
Limited English Proficient	7	14%	0%	14%	0%	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	63%	4%	4%	7%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	271	65%	1%	7%	2%	24%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	317	65%	2%	7%	3%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.