

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 660405030000
Superintendent RICHARD MAURER
Telephone (914) 693-6300
Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	138	131	171
Grade 1	157	139	120
Grade 2	164	171	139
Grade 3	166	162	168
Grade 4	171	174	163
Grade 5	181	172	173
Grade 6	181	185	178
Ungraded Elementary	83	84	75
Grade 7	193	182	189
Grade 8	183	192	183
Grade 9	195	188	189
Grade 10	171	185	187
Grade 11	157	163	191
Grade 12	172	156	161
Ungraded Secondary	31	38	34
Total K-12	2343	2322	2321

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	20	20
Grade 8			
English	21	22	23
Mathematics	21	19	21
Science	21	18	20
Social Studies	21	22	23
Grade 10			
English	20	18	19
Mathematics	20	17	19
Science	21	20	20
Social Studies	20	18	19

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	28	1%	9	0%	16	1%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	14	1%	15	1%	16	1%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	31	1%	59	3%	76	3%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	3	0%	12	1%	
Black or African American	74	3%	68	3%	74	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	86	4%	94	4%	96	4%	
Asian or Native	250	11%	272	12%	284	12%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	1932	82%	1885	81%	1855	80%	

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		97%		97%
Student Suspensions	59	N/A	95	4%	78	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	529	554	716
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	1	1
Percentage of Total	0%	1%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	42%	43%	42%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	188	191	191
Total Other Professional Staff	31	29	29
Total Paraprofessionals*	181	174	176
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	3	3	3

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math Good Standing	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

rears the Pistrice Received ritter are Ar and any					
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	✓	✓	✓	V	✓	V		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	~	/	••••••	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Hispanic or Latino	~	/	•••••••	_	- -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	V	V	••••••	- -		•••••••		
White	~	/	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	V	V	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	V	V		_	_			
Limited English Proficient		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••	_	- -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Economically Disadvantaged	_	- -	••••••••	- -	- -	•••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1		

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Improvement (Year 4) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

AYP Participation ² Test Performanc		rmance ³	Performar	Performance Objectives				
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ St	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (1078:1062)	V	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	186	119		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (38:37)	V	_	_	V	151	106	•••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (42:40)	/	V	100%	V	173	107	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (140:134)	/	V	96%	~	191	113	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
White (858:851)	'	/	100%	~	188	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*** **************
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (122:119)	/	✓	98%	/	145	112		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	••••••••••	•••••				•••••••	••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (14:14)	- -	_	-	_	-	-	•••••••	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (1079:1069)	V	V	100%	V	191	83		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (38:37)	V	_	_	V	162	70	•••••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (42:40)	V	V	100%	V	183	71	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (141:139)	V	V	100%	V	198	77	••••••••	•••••••
White (858:853)	/	V	100%	V	192	82	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (122:119)	/	V	98%	V	157	76		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	••••••	•••••					••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (14:14)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	••••••••	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perf	ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (356:350)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
	/	Qualified	<i>'</i>	99%	<u> </u>	194	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (10:10)	•	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	
Hispanic or Latino (18:16)		_	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (51:49)		Qualified		100%	~	198	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (277:275)		Qualified	~	99%	~	194	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (40:37)		Qualified	~	93%	V	173	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)				•••••	••••		•••••	•••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (4:4)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

_

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (164:159)	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	195	146		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (4:3)	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:16)	-	_	_	_	_	_		-
White (139:135)	/	/	100%	V	196	145	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (18:17)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (1:0)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (164:159)	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	n ² Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives			
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target		
	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u></u>	197	138		<u> </u>		
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (4:3)	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_		
					_		_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:16)	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_		
White (139:135)	/	/	100%	/	198	137	•••••••	•••••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (18:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_		
Economically Disadvantaged (1:0)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	_	_		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2									

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (158)	V V		98%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (6)		-	-	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (7)		_	-	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16)		_	-	-	_	-		
White (129)	• • • • • • • •	~	100%	55%		• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (17)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (1)		_ 	-	_	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged (1)		_	_	-	_	_		
Final AYP Determination	V 1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing

3 schools identified 100% of total

ARDSLEY HIGH SCHOOL
ARDSLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL
CONCORD ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	100%	
Grade 3	89%		169
Grade 4	94%		164
Grade 5	91%		170
Grade 6	91%		176
Grade 7	87%		187
Grade 8	79%		178
Mathematics			
Grade 3	98%		170
Grade 4	95%		166
Grade 5	94%		170
Grade 6	88%		1 77
Grade 7	94%		188
Grade 8	90%		181
Science			
Grade 4	95%		167
Grade 8	71%		34
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	96%		160 160
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	99%	1	160

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year			
_	Total Tested	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	169	99%	89%	11%					
Female	87	100%	92%	10%					
Male	82	99%	85%	11%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	6	-	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	_		••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	92%	13%				Ì	
White	136	100%	90%	10%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	9	89%	56%	22%				·	
General-Education Students	156	99%	91%	12%					
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	62%	0%					
English Proficient	169	99%	89%	11%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••	•••••				Ì	
Economically Disadvantaged	3	_	_	_					
Not Disadvantaged	166		-	<u> </u>		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	169	99%	89%	11%					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested					Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	7	6	4		Tested 2-4 3-4 4 This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	·	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	170	99%	98%	36%				
Female	88	100%	99%	35%				
Male	82	99%	98%	37%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	-	-	_				
Hispanic or Latino	3		-	_	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	100%	36%				
White	136	100%	99%	37%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	22%	•••••			
General-Education Students	157	99%	98%	37%				
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	100%	23%				
English Proficient	170	99%	98%	36%				
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	_	-				
Not Disadvantaged	167	-	-	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	170	99%	98%	36%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sc	er scoring at level(s):							
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	7	5	4	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.		

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	164	98%	94%	18%							
Female	90	98%	94%	23%							
Male	74	99%	93%	12%		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	4	_	_	_							
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	New assessments for elementary-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	100%	33%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were						
White	127	98%	95%	17%	adminis	tered in 200	6. Results f	rom			
Small Group Totals	10	100%	60%	0%		sessments c		•			
General-Education Students	149	99%	98%	20%		ed to results	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	53%	0%	adminis	tered assessr	nents.				
English Proficient	164	98%	94%	18%							
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	_	-							
Not Disadvantaged	161	-	_	_		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	164	98%	94%	18%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-		-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				2	-	-	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	166	99%	95%	41%					
Female	92	99%	93%	38%					
Male	74	100%	96%	45%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	_	_	_	• • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	6		-		New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	100%	68%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	128	99%	95%	38%	adminis	tered in 200	6. Results f	rom	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	70%	0%		ssessments c		•	
General-Education Students	151	100%	97%	43%		ed to results	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	67%	20%	adminis	tered assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	166	99%	95%	41%					
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	••••	••••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	3	_	-	-					
Not Disadvantaged	163		_	_	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	166	99%	95%	41%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 Sc	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	tal Number scoring at level(s): Total Number sco						
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	4	_			2			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4				3			

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	e scoring at level(s):		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	167	99%	95%	63%	175	100%	93%	53%	
Female	92	99%	93%	61%	96	100%	97%	53%	
Male	75	100%	96%	67%	79	100%	89%	53%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	_	_	5	100%	100%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	9	100%	56%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	100%	100%	93%	32	100%	100%	66%	
 White	128	99%	96%	60%	129	100%	94%	56%	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	60%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	152	100%	97%	67%	160	100%	96%	57%	
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	67%	27%	15	100%	67%	13%	
English Proficient	167	99%	95%	63%	173	-	_	_	
_imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••	•••••	2		_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	_	_	1	-	_	-	
Not Disadvantaged	164	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	_	174	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	167	99%	95%	63%	175	100%	93%	53%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scori						.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2				3			_

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004-05	School Year	•	
	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	170	99%	91%	29%				
Female	94	99%	93%	28%				
Male	76	99%	89%	32%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	83%	83%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	63%	0%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	59%				
White	139	99%	92%	29%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	156	99%	95%	32%				
Students with Disabilities	14	93%	50%	0%				
English Proficient	170	99%	91%	29%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••••	•••••••	•••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	170	99%	91%	29%	••••••	•••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant								
Not Migrant	170	99%	91%	29%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year 2004-05 School Year					ı	
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	170	99%	94%	38%				
Female	94	99%	96%	35%				
Male	76	99%	92%	42%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	17%				
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	88%	13%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	41%				
White	139	99%	94%	40%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	156	99%	96%	41%				
Students with Disabilities	14	93%	71%	7%	•••••			
English Proficient	170	99%	94%	38%				
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	170	99%	94%	38%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	170	99%	94%	38%			••••••	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year	ol Year 2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	176	99%	91%	36%				
Female	85	100%	94%	47%				
Male	91	99%	88%	26%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	14%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	100%	29%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	91%	27%				
White	140	99%	91%	39%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	158	100%	94%	41%				
Students with Disabilities	18	94%	67%	0%	•••••			
English Proficient	176	99%	91%	36%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	173	_	-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	176	99%	91%	36%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year							
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Number scoring at le			evel(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	5		was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004-05	School Year	·	
	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	177	97%	88%	28%				
Female	85	99%	87%	35%				
Male	92	95%	88%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	86%	57%	14%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	86%	0%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	100%	100%	39%				
White	140	96%	87%	28%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••••	•••••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	159	98%	92%	30%				
Students with Disabilities	18	83%	44%	11%				
English Proficient	177	97%	88%	28%				
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••	••••••	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	_	_				
Not Disadvantaged	174	-	-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	177	97%	88%	28%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	o6 School Year 2004-05 School Year					•		
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	This test	est was not given in 2004-05.			
(NTSAA). Orace o Equivalent									

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	187	99%	87%	25%				
Female	87	100%	91%	32%				
Male	100	98%	84%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	40%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	96%	83%	38%				
White	151	99%	88%	24%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••••				
General-Education Students	168	99%	90%	28%				
Students with Disabilities	19	95%	63%	0%	•••••			
English Proficient	187	99%	87%	25%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	_	-				
Not Disadvantaged	184	_	-	-		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	187	99%	87%	25%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 3	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> _	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4)4-05.	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent New York State English as a Second		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	188	100%	94%	41%				
Female	86	100%	93%	42%				
Male	102	100%	95%	41%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	14%				
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	40%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	96%	44%				
White	151	100%	95%	42%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	170	100%	96%	45%				
Students with Disabilities	18	100%	78%	6%	•••••			
English Proficient	188	100%	94%	41%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	3	_	_	-				
Not Disadvantaged	185	_	_	-	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	188	100%	94%	41%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S d	S School Year 2004-05 School Year							
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	178	99%	79%	11%						
Female	79	99%	80%	10%						
Male	99	99%	78%	12%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	6	100%	50%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	60%	10%	New ass	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	89%	5%	and middle-level English langua arts and mathematics were					
White	143	99%	80%	13%	adminis	tered in 2006	. Results f	rom		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••		ssessments ca		•		
General-Education Students	161	100%	84%	12%		ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	17	88%	24%	0%	adminis	tered assessn	ients.			
English Proficient	178	99%	79%	11%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-						
Not Disadvantaged	177	_	-	–		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	178	99%	79%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year 2004-05 School Year					•	
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-		-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				2	-	-	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	181	99%	90%	37%							
Female	82	99%	87%	34%							
Male	99	99%	92%	39%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	6	83%	67%	33%							
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	80%	30%	New ass	New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	95%	59%	and middle-level English langua arts and mathematics were						
White	143	99%	90%	34%	adminis	tered in 2006	6. Results f	rom			
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••		ssessments c		,			
General-Education Students	164	100%	93%	40%		ed to results	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	17	88%	59%	12%	adminis	tered assessr	nents.				
English Proficient	181	99%	90%	37%							
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	1	_	_	_							
Not Disadvantaged	180	_	_		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	181	99%	90%	37%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3			_	3	_		_	

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	34	100%	71%	9%	17	100%	94%	6%
Female	19	100%	53%	5%	8	100%	88%	0%
Male	15	100%	93%	13%	9	100%	100%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	_	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	_	-	4	-	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	86%	0%	1	_	_	_
White	21	100%	57%	10%	10	100%	100%	0%
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	7	100%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	22	100%	73%	9%	6	100%	83%	0%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	67%	8%	11	100%	100%	9%
English Proficient	34	100%	71%	9%	17	100%	94%	6%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	33		-	_	15	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	34	100%	71%	9%	17	100%	94%	6%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	al Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	3	_	_	_	3	-	-	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent			_					_		
Regents Science	147	146	146	97	173	170	163	87		


District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 0 10 65 97 172 704 91 2 17 61 171 Feb 2004 682 Feb 2003 0 5 87 77 169 690




Test Date	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	1	36	102	49	188	724
Jan 2004	0	38	75	67	180	731
Jan 2003	5	31	101	53	190	723


District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 0 1 51 123 175 697 May 2005 76 92 173 682 May 2004 1 4 May 2003 0 2 71 98 171 691



	Number o	f students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	2	13	112	61	188	749
May 2004	2	24	90	69	185	751
May 2003	4	8	109	70	191	753

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*					
_	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	160	98%	96%	54%	158	97%	93%	51%
Female	77	97%	95%	61%	76	100%	96%	54%
Male	83	98%	96%	47%	82	94%	90%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	_	_	-	7	86%	71%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	5	_	_	-	6	100%	100%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	100%	38%	15	93%	87%	47%
White	136	99%	96%	57%	130	98%	95%	53%
Small Group Totals	8	75%	75%	25%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••
General-Education Students	142	99%	99%	58%	144	100%	99%	55%
Students with Disabilities	18	83%	72%	17%	14	64%	36%	7%
English Proficient	160	98%	96%	54%	157	_	_	-
_imited English Proficient	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	1	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	160	98%	96%	54%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	160	98%	96%	54%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level(s			(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*			
_	Number of Students	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	160	99%	97%	46%	158	97%	96%	45%		
Female	77	99%	96%	45%	76	97%	96%	47%		
Male	83	99%	98%	46%	82	96%	95%	43%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	3	_	_	-	7	86%	86%	29%		
Hispanic or Latino	5		_	-	6	100%	100%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	100%	75%	15	100%	100%	60%		
White	136	99%	97%	44%	130	97%	95%	46%		
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••		
General-Education Students	142	100%	99%	49%	144	99%	99%	47%		
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	83%	22%	14	71%	64%	21%		
English Proficient	160	99%	97%	46%	157	_	_	_		
_imited English Proficient		••••••	•••••	•••••	1	_	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	160	99%	97%	46%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	160	99%	97%	46%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••		

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level(s			(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	160	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	158	97%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Female	2002	77	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	76	97%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Male	2002	83	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	82	96%	0%	0%	4%	0%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	3	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	7	71%	0%	0%	29%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	5	_	_	_	····-	_
•	2001	6	83%	0%	0%	17%	0%
Asian or Native	2002	16	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	15	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
White	2002	136	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	130	98%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Small Group Totals	2002	8	88%	0%	0%	13%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	142	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	144	97%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2002	18	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	14	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
English Proficient	2002	160	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
_	2001	157	_				
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Disadvantaged	2002	160	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	160	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%

NOTES

District ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



■ District ■ NY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Gradents			IEP Diploma			
All Students	160	98%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Female	75	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	85	96%	1%	0%	2%	0%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Native	17	88%	0%	0%	12%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	130	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
General-Education Students	143	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities	17	82%	6%	0%	12%	0%
English Proficient	159	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	1	_	_	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	159	_	_	_	_	_
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	160	98%	1%	0%	1%	0%

NOTES