

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 District ALEXANDER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 18-02-02-04-0000 Superintendent KATHLEEN MAERTEN Telephone (585) 591-1551 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 18-02-02-04-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	63	61	58
Grade 1	61	65	55
Grade 2	74	60	72
Grade 3	62	67	61
Grade 4	70	58	70
Grade 5	77	71	63
Grade 6	79	81	71
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	79	83	87
Grade 8	95	84	92
Grade 9	82	104	82
Grade 10	103	78	105
Grade 11	76	98	70
Grade 12	75	71	94
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	996	981	980

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	19	19	19
Grade 8			
English	21	20	23
Mathematics	20	18	24
Science	22	21	24
Social Studies	22	21	24
Grade 10			
English	24	15	26
Mathematics	16	19	18
Science	25	18	18
Social Studies	21	19	24

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	138	14%	122	12%	142	14%
Reduced-Price Lunch	96	10%	109	11%	119	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	3	0%	3	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	6	1%	8	1%	11	1%
Hispanic or Latino	1	0%	1	0%	8	1%
Asian or Native	3	0%	5	1%	2	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	986	99%	967	99%	959	98%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		97%		97%
Student Suspensions	36	3%	26	3%	32	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	80	78	84
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	5%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	10%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	6%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	13%	11%
Total Number of Core Classes	349	242	235
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	6%
Total Number of Classes	326	310	318
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	8%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	11%	11%	11%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	9%	7%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	9	11	11
Total Paraprofessionals*	31	32	29
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 18-02-02-04-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status (Applies to New York State district

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds) (Applies to New York State districts) **District in Good Standing** A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement the following year. (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that

that does not make AYP on the accountability measure
for which it was identified is considered a District in Need
of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year,
if it continues to receive Title I funds.does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was
identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress
(Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District ALEXANDER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 18-02-02-04-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2008–09)	ELA		Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rat	e 🔥 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	g					
	2006-	07	2007-08	2008-09			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	v	v	 ✓ 	v	v	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	_	_		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	—	_		_	_	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_		_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White	~	~	••••	~	~	••••	
Multiracial	••••••	••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	 ✓ 		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	~	••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	🗸 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group			Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (447:444)	~	~	100%	V	177	128			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (6:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
(2.2)			-		-	_		_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
White (436:435)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	177	128	••••		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••		••••				••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (54:53)	~	~	98%	~	121	120			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (2:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	••••••••••••••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (133:132)	~	 	100%	~	163	124	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 18-02-02-04-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (449:443)	~	~	100%	v	183	97		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
(0.0)			_		-	-		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (438:434)	<	<	100%	 	184	97	••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (54:53)	 Image: A start of the start of	~	98%	~	142	89		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (2:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged (133:131)	~	~	99%	~	181	93	··· ···	·····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

-	- - - 100%	- - -	Performance Index 187 - - - 188	- - - 100		2008-09
- - -	100% - - - 100%	- - - -	187 - - - 188	- - - 100		
-	- - - 100%	- - -	188	100		
- - - V	- - - 100%	- - -	- - - 188	- - - 100		
- - - V	- - - 100%	- - -	- - - 188	- - - 100		
- - - V	- - - 100%	- - -	- - - 188	- - - 100		
- - -	- - 100%	- - V	- - 188	- - 100		
-	- 100%	-	- 188	- 100		-
~	100%	~	188	100		
_	_	_				
_	_					
		-	-	-		_
-	-	-	-	-		-
~	100%	~	171	100		
continuousl vere excused ver than 40 s iterion. If the o6–07 and 2 e two years. ver than 30 c h fewer than to determin EP students	y enrolled tested from testing for r students enrolled participation rat 2007–08 enrollme continuously enro a 30 continuously e counts and per	students (used medical reason during the test te of a group fel ents and the per polled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	for Performance). F s are not included in administration peri Il below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2007-0 es.	or accountabi in the enrollme iod are not rec in 2007–08, th reighted avera ed to meet the 58, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollmer age of the pa e performan po6–07 and 2	ions, et the nt shown ırticipation ce criterion
						Page 11
	continuousl ere excused ver than 40 s iterion. If the o6–o7 and 2 e two years. ver than 30 o h fewer thar to determir	continuously enrolled tested ere excused from testing for a ver than 40 students enrolled iterion. If the participation rat o6–07 and 2007–08 enrollme e two years. ver than 30 continuously enro h fewer than 30 continuously to determine counts and per EP students is equal to or gre	continuously enrolled tested students (used ere excused from testing for medical reason ver than 40 students enrolled during the test iterion. If the participation rate of a group fel 06–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the per e two years. ver than 30 continuously enrolled tested stud h fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested to determine counts and performance indic EP students is equal to or greater than 30, for	continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). F ere excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in ver than 40 students enrolled during the test administration per- iterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent o6–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the w e two years. ver than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not requir h fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–0 to determine counts and performance indices. EP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students is	continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountable re excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollmeter than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not rediterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the 06–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average two years.	ver than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performan h fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2 to determine counts and performance indices. EP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the


District ID 18-02-02-04-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (92:91)	~	 ✓ 	99%	 Image: A set of the set of the	193	155		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
(0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)							••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific								
Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (90:89)	v	v	99%	v	193	154		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••	•••••	••••				••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (2:5)	_	_	_	_	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••		••••	•••••	••••	
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (13:15)	–	-	-	-	-	-	••••	_
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							



‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


District ID 18-02-02-04-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (92:91)	 	 Image: A set of the set of the	100%	 Image: A set of the set of the	192	149		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
(0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)								-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific						•••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (90:89)	v	V	100%	V	192	148		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (2:5)	_	_	-	_	_	_		-
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (13:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							



‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ID 18-02-02-04-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (84)	~	~	71%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (0)							
Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							
Pacific Islander (0)							
White (84)		V	71%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	1		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (8)		_	_	_			
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)				•••••••••••••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged (9)		-	-	-			
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1					

NOTES

- ¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.
- ² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.
- ³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
▲ Good Standing	Good Standing
1 school identified 50% of total	1 school identified 50% of total
ALEXANDER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	ALEXANDER MIDDLE SCHOOL-HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage c scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	82%	·	61
Grade 4	75%		69
Grade 5	86%		63
Grade 6	90%		70
Grade 7	89%		87
Grade 8	55%		94
Mathematics			
Grade 3	93%		61
Grade 4	87%		70
Grade 5	84%		63
Grade 6	99%		70
Grade 7	91%		88
Grade 8	67%		94
Science			
Grade 4	90%		70
Grade 8	85%		95
	-	of students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

81%

81%

District ID 18-02-02-04-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

107

107

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 670	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780*					
2007 Mean Score: 680 2007–08 2006–07	100%	98% 96%	82% _{78%}	11% 18%	94% 91%	70% 67%	12% 10%		
Number of Tested Students:		60 65	50 53	7 12					
Results by Student Group		2007–08 Scho	ol Year		2006–07 School Year				
)	Total Pe Tested	ercentage scorin 2–4 3–4	5	Total Tested	Percentage scori 2–4 3	ng at level(s): –4 4		

Student Group	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	61	98%	82%	11%	68	96%	78 %	18%
Female	29	100%	90%	7%	29	100%	83%	28%
Male	32	97%	75%	16%	39	92%	74%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1							•••••
Pacific Islander	-							
White	58	-		-	67	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	61	98%	82%	11%	68	96%	78%	18%
General-Education Students	54	100%	91%	13%	63	97%	81%	19%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	14%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%
English Proficient	61	98%	82%	11%	67	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	21	100%	76%	5%	17	94%	53%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	40	98%	85%	15%	51	96%	86%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	61	98%	82%	11%	68	96%	78%	18%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 So	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

88%

92%

91%

20%

6%

27%

22%

5

68

1

17

52

69

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	centage scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 96% 90% 85% 26% 29% 26% 29% 06-07 School Year cal Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 682	Range:	624-770	650-7	770 7	03-770						
2007 Mean Score: 687	100%	98% 100%	93% 9	1%		98% 96%	90% 85	5%			
2007-082006-07				10	_{5%} 22%			269	% 29%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	60 69	57	63 1	.0 15						
		2007–08 S o	chool Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
 All Students		61	98%	93%	16%	69	100%	91%	22%		
Female		29	100%	97%	21%	30	100%	90%	13%		
Male		32	97%	91%	13%	39	100%	92%	28%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e										
Black or African American											
									-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			• •••••				••••				
Pacific Islander		-		_	_						
White		58	_	_	-	68	-	-	-		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		61	98%	93%	16%	69	100%	91%	22%		
General-Education Students		54	100%	98%	19%	64	100%	91%	22%		
······	•••••		0.000						·····		

Migrant Not Migrant

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient

Economically Disadvantaged

English Proficient

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

86%

98%

100%

98%

98%

7

61

21

40

61

57%

93%

95%

93%

93%

0%

16%

10%

20%

16%

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t				NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):					oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 672	Range:	612-775	650-	-775	716-775	i				
2007 Mean Score: 667	100%	97% 97%	75%	77%			93% 92%	71% 68	%	
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07					10% 5%				8%	8%
Number of Tested Students:		67 58	52	46	73					
Deculte hy		2007–08 Sc	hool Ye	ar			2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percenta	ige scoring	at level(s):		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		69	97%	75%	10%		60	97%	77%	5%
Female		30	100%	83%	20%		29	97%	79%	7%
Male		39	95%	69%	3%		31	97%	74%	3%
American Indian on Alaska Nati										

American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other					1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					±			
White	67	-	-	-	59	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	69	97%	75%	10%	60	97%	77%	5%
General-Education Students	64	98%	80%	11%	54	100%	81%	6%
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	20%	0%	6	67%	33%	0%
English Proficient	68	-	-	-	60	97%	77%	5%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	17	94%	53%	6%	21	95%	76%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	52	98%	83%	12%	39	97%	77%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	69	97%	75%	10%	60	97%	77%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic	blic			
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 686	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
2007 Mean Score: 688	100%	100% _{95%}	87% 8	7%		95% 94%	84% 8C)%			
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07				3	0% 30%			29	% 28%		
Number of Tested Students:		70 58	61	53	21 18						
Deculte by		2007–08 S	School Year 2006-07 School Year					r			
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	age scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		70	100%	87%	30%	61	95%	87%	30%		
Female		30	100%	83%	33%	28	96%	82%	29%		
Male		40	100%	90%	28%	33	94%	91%	30%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		1	-	_	_						
		1		_	_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_		

Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	67	-		-	60	-	-	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		•••••		••••••
Small Group Totals	70	100%	87%	30%	61	95%	87%	30%
General-Education Students	65	100%	88%	32%	55	98%	91%	33%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	80%	0%	6	67%	50%	0%
English Proficient	68	-	-	-	61	95%	87%	30%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	_	-		•••••		•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	17	100%	82%	12%	23	91%	83%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	53	100%	89%	36%	38	97%	89%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	70	100%	87%	30%	61	95%	87%	30%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	chool Year			2006–07 S e	2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	ring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	ring at level 3–4	(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	Percentage s	scoring at lov				NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100					
100%	100% 98%	90% ^g		61%	97% 97%	85% 85		% 49%	
			+	570		н			
<u> </u>	70 60	63	58 3	34 37					
	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 S	ichool Yea	r		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	70	100%	90%	49 %	61	98%	95%	61%	
	30	100%	90%	47%	28	96%	93%	57%	
	40	100%	90%	50%	33	100%	97%	64%	
e									
	1	-		_					
	1	_		-					
	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
	67		-	–	60	-	-	-	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••		••••••••••••••	•••••		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	70	100%	90%	49%	61	98%	95%	61%	
	65	100%	89%	51%	55	100%	98%	62%	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	100%	100%	20%	6	83%	67%	50%	
	68	-	-	-	61	98%	95%	61%	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-	-	-		•••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
	17	100%	82%	24%	23	96%	91%	48%	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	53	100%	92%	57%	38	100%	97%	68%	
		100% 100% 98% 100% 98% 70 60 2007-08 S Total Tested 70 30 40 e 1 1 67 70 65 5 68 2 17	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c } \hline 100\% & 98\% & 90\% & 95\% & 97\% & 61\% & 49\% & 61\% & 49\% & 61\% & 49\% & 61\% & 49\% & 61\% & 49\% & 61\% & 70 & 60\% & 90\% & 49\% & 61 & 70 & 100\% & 90\% & 49\% & 61 & 70 & 100\% & 90\% & 49\% & 61 & 70 & 100\% & 90\% & 49\% & 61 & 70 & 100\% & 90\% & 47\% & 28 & 40 & 100\% & 90\% & 50\% & 33 & 6 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } \hline 100\% 98\% & 90\% 95\% & 97\% 97\% & 97\% 97\% & 85\% 85\% & 50\% & 97\% & 97\% & 50$	

Not Migrant

NOTES The - syr symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

70

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year	2006-07 \$	School Year		
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0		0			

90%

49%

98%

61

95%

61%

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Distric	:t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 667	Range:	608-795	650-7	795 73	L1-795				
2007 Mean Score: 679	100%	97% 100%	86% 8	5%		98% 95%	78% 68	9%	
2007-08 2006-07				3'	» 12%			69	6 7%
Number of Tested Students:	L	61 73	54	62 2	9				
Posults by		2007-08 Sc	hool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	1	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		63	97%	86%	3%	73	100%	85%	12%
Female		27	96%	85%	4%	35	100%	83%	9%
Male		36	97%	86%	3%	38	100%	87%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Nati Black or African American	ive		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			1	_		
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	1	-	-	-				
White	•••••	62	-	-	-	72	-	-	-
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals		63	97%	86%	3%	73	100%	85%	12%
General-Education Students		55	100%	93%	4%	65	100%	89%	14%
Students with Disabilities		8	75%	38%	0%	8	100%	50%	0%
English Proficient		63	97%	86%	3%	73	100%	85%	12%
imited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		25	96%	80%	8%	17	100%	71%	6%
Not Disadvantaged		38	97%	89%	0%	56	100%	89%	14%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		63	97%	86%	3%	73	100%	85%	12%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 671	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 6	99–780					
2007 Mean Score: 677	100%	97% 100%	84% ⁸	9%		96% 94%	^{83%} 76	%		
2007-08								27	24	
2006-07				1	3% 17%			27	[%] 22%	
Number of Tested Students:		61 71	53	63	8 12					
Poculto by		2007–08 Sc	hool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		63	97%	84%	13%	71	100%	89 %	17%	
Female		27	96%	74%	22%	35	100%	86%	11%	
Male		36	97%	92%	6%	36	100%	92%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American						1				
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-					
White		62	-	-	-	70	-	-		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	63	97%	84%	13%	71	100%	89%	17%	
General-Education Students		55	100%	89%	15%	63	100%	90%	19%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		75%	50%	0%	8	100%	75%	0%	
English Proficient		63	97%	84%	13%	71	100%	89%	17%	
Limited English Proficient		~-	0.000	7.001	0.01		40000	7.001	4.634	
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••	25	96%	76%	8%	17	100%	76%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged		38	97%	89%	16%	54	100%	93%	19%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		63	97%	84%	13%	71	100%	89%	17%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 677	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 7	05-785					
2007 Mean Score: 672	100%	100%100%	90% 8	1%		98% 98%	67% 63	%		
2007-08 2006-07				1	1% 10%			5%	₆ 9%	
Number of Tested Students:		70 83	63	67	8 8					
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		70	100%	90%	11%	83	100%	81%	10 %	
Female		34	100%	82%	18%	42	100%	81%	10%	
Male		36	100%	97%	6%	41	100%	80%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		1				1				
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her				••••••					
White		69	_			82		-		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••		••••	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	70	100%	90%	11%	83	100%	81%	10%	
General-Education Students		64	100%	92%	13%	75	100%	89%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	6	100%	67%	0%	8	100%	0%	0%	
English Proficient		70	100%	90%	11%	83	100%	81%	10%	
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged		21	100%	81%	0%	24	100%	67%	0%	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	

⁴⁹ 100% 94% 16% 59 100% 86% 14% Not Disadvantaged Migrant 70 Not Migrant 100% 90% 11% 83 100% 81% 10%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This District					NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 691	Range:	616-780	650-	780 (696-780				
2007 Mean Score: 679	100%	100% 99%	99% g		13%	94% 91%	79% 71	%	
2007-08					19%			269	[%] 20%
Number of Tested Students:		70 82	69	76	30 16				
Deculte by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s		level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		70	100%	99%	43%	83	99%	92%	19%
Female		34	100%	100%	41%	43	98%	95%	21%
Male		36	100%	97%	44%	40	100%	88%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		1	-	_	_	1	-	-	_

Black of Alfican American	T	_		-	L	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	69	–	_	-	82	-	_	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	70	100%	99%	43%	83	99%	92%	19%
General-Education Students	64	100%	100%	47%	76	99%	93%	21%
Students with Disabilities	6	100%	83%	0%	7	100%	71%	0%
English Proficient	70	100%	99%	43%	83	99%	92%	19%
Limited English Proficient				•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	21	100%	100%	29%	24	100%	92%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	49	100%	98%	49%	59	98%	92%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	70	100%	99%	43%	83	99%	92%	19%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent 0 0 0 0	Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year	2006–07 S	chool Year
New York State Alternate Assessment	_		5		5
		0		0	

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 679	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	12-790					
2007 Mean Score: 667	100%	100% 99%	89%	'4%		98% 94%	70%	1%		
2007–08 2006–07				6	_% 10%			39	6%	
Number of Tested Students:		87 83	77	62	58					
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
-				Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		87	100%	89%	6 %	84	99%	74%	10%	
Female		43	100%	91%	7%	38	100%	84%	8%	
Male		44	100%	86%	5%	46	98%	65%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		1								
Hispanic or Latino		1	-							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	ther									
White		85	-	-	-	84	99%	74%	10%	
Multiracial					•••••					
Small Group Totals		87	100%	89%	6%					
General-Education Students		77	100%	94%	6%	68	100%	87%	12%	
Students with Disabilities		10	100%	50%	0%	16	94%	19%	0%	
English Proficient		87	100%	89%	6%	84	99%	74%	10%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		22	100%	82%	5%	17	94%	59%	0%	
Nat Disaduanta and		с г	1000/	010/	C0/	67	1000/	700/	1 20/	

Migrant Not Migrant 87 100% 89% 6% 84 99% 74% 10%

91%

6%

67

100%

78%

12%

100%

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

65

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage se	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 679	Range:	611-800	650-	800 6	93-800					
2007 Mean Score: 655	100%	98% 95%	91%	61%		96% 93%	79%	%		
2007-08 2006-07				2	2%			28%	18%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	86 81	80	52	19 3					
Results by		2007–08 S o	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r		
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	je scoring a 3−4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	
 All Students		88	98%	91%	22%	85	95%	61%	4%	
Female		43	98%	95%	26%	38	97%	53%	5%	
Male		45	98%	87%	18%	47	94%	68%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati Black or African American	ve	1	-	-	-		•••			
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r									
White		86	-	_	-	85	95%	61%	4%	
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		••••••••••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	•••••	88	98%	91%	22%		••••••••	•••••••		
General-Education Students		77	100%	96%	25%	69	100%	71%	4%	
Students with Disabilities		11	82%	55%	0%	16	75%	19%	0%	
English Proficient		88	98%	91%	22%	85	95%	61%	4%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		22	100%	95%	23%	18	89%	44%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged		66	97%	89%	21%	67	97%	66%	4%	
Migrant Not Migrant		88	98%	91%	22%	85	95%	61%	4%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P			
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 661	Range:	602-790	650-	790 7	15-790				
2007 Mean Score: 669	100%	98% 95%	55%	75%		95% 94%	56% 57	%	
2007-082006-07				3	% 9%			6%	6%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	92 84	52	66	3 8				
Poculte by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	ır		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		94	98%	55%	3%	88	95%	75%	9%
Female		42	100%	50%	2%	46	98%	91%	11%
Male		52	96%	60%	4%	42	93%	57%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		1	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander					•••••				
White		93	_	_		88	95%	75%	9%
Multiracial					•••••				
Small Group Totals		94	98%	55%	3%				
General-Education Students		78	100%	64%	4%	78	100%	81%	10%
Students with Disabilities		16	88%	13%	0%	10	60%	30%	0%
English Proficient		94	98%	55%	3%	88	95%	75%	9%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		27	96%	26%	0%	29	90%	62%	7%
Not Disadvantaged		67	99%	67%	4%	59	98%	81%	10%
Migrant									
Not Migrant			98%			88			9%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 657	Range:	616-775	650-7	775 70)1-775				
2007 Mean Score: 653	100%								
		94% 92%				93% 88%			
			67%	6%			70% 59	1%	
2007-08			5	0%					
2006-07								17%	12%
				19	6 2%				1270
Number of Tested Students:		88 80	63	49 1	2				
Poculto by		2007–08 S o	hool Yea	r		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		94	94%	67 %	1%	87	92%	56%	2%
Female		41	90%	59%	2%	46	96%	61%	4%
Male		53	96%	74%	0%	41	88%	51%	0%
American Indian or Alaska N	ative								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	her								
Pacific Islander									
White		93	-	-	-	87	92%	56%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		94	94%	67%	1%	70	0.5.0/	600/	20/
General-Education Students		79	96%	71%	1%	78	95%	60%	3%
Students with Disabilities		15	80%	47%	0%	9	67%	22%	0%
English Proficient		94	94%	67%	1%	87	92%	56%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged			88%	62%	0%	28	86%	46%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		68	96%	69%	1%	59	95%	61%	3%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		94	94%	67%	1%	87	92%	56%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	


This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This District				NY State Public				
	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	100%100%	85%		5% 35%	95% 91%	73% 68			
2006-07							309	% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:	95 85	81	70 3	4 30					
Results by	2007-08 S	chool Yea	ar			School Yea	r		
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	ge scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4	
	95	100%	85%	36%	85	100%	82%	35%	
Female	42	100%	81%	19%	44	100%	82%	34%	
Male	53	100%	89%	49%	41	100%	83%	37%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	–		••••	••••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	••••	•••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		••••	••••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						••••			
White	94			_	85	100%			
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••				••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	95	100%		36%		••••	••••••	•••••	
General-Education Students	79	100%	91%	42%	76	100%	84%	38%	
Students with Disabilities	16	100%		6%	9	100%	67%		
English Proficient	95	100%	85%	36%	85	100%	82%	35%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	27	100%	67%	22%	26	100%	69%	35%	
Not Disadvantaged	68	100%	93%	41%	59	100%	88%	36%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	95	100%		36%	85	100%			

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

	•	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Other	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Regents Science	0				0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2004 Coho i	t		2003 Coho	2003 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	107	84%	81%	46%	84	81 %	81%	37%	
Female	48	85%	81%	52%	40	98%	98%	38%	
Male	59	83%	81%	41%	44	66%	66%	36%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		••••••		••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1			•••••					
Pacific Islander	1	_		_					
White	105	-	-	-	84	81%	81%	37%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	107	84%	81%	46%					
General-Education Students	93	92%	89%	53%	76	86%	86%	41%	
Students with Disabilities	14	29%	29%	0%	8	38%	38%	0%	
English Proficient	107	84%	81%	46%	84	81%	81%	37%	
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	17	88%	88%	35%	11	64%	64%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	90	83%	80%	48%	73	84%	84%	40%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	107	84%	81%	46%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2004 Coho r	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2004 Coho i	rt			2003 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	107	83%	81%	45%	84	85%	82%	44%	
Female	48	83%	83%	42%	40	100%	98%	45%	
Male	59	83%	80%	47%	44	70%	68%	43%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••			•••••	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	–	–		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-							
White	105	_	-	_	84	85%	82%	44%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	107	83%	81%	45%					
General-Education Students	93	92%	91%	51%	76	88%	87%	46%	
Students with Disabilities	14	21%	14%	7%	8	50%	38%	25%	
English Proficient	107	83%	81%	45%	84	85%	82%	44%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	17	82%	82%	35%	11	73%	73%	27%	
Not Disadvantaged	90	83%	81%	47%	73	86%	84%	47%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	107	83%	81%	45%		•••••	•••••	••••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Coho r	t		2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.