

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 District AMITYVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 58-01-06-03-0000 Superintendent JOHN WILLIAMS Telephone (631) 598-6520 Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	80	94	95
Kindergarten	172	182	186
Grade 1	219	196	185
Grade 2	213	225	186
Grade 3	194	207	213
Grade 4	199	208	204
Grade 5	206	205	192
Grade 6	226	214	213
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	212	217	210
Grade 8	218	209	226
Grade 9	302	253	281
Grade 10	189	252	218
Grade 11	161	223	219
Grade 12	153	153	183
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	2664	2744	2716

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	20	19
Grade 8			
English	20	16	18
Mathematics	18	17	22
Science	18	16	22
Social Studies	18	17	20
Grade 10			
English	17	20	17
Mathematics	22	15	15
Science	15	19	
Social Studies	18	22	16

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006–07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	975	37%	1114	41%	1168	43%
Reduced-Price Lunch	329	12%	340	12%	346	13%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	225	8%	266	10%	292	11%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	1627	61%	1682	61%	1624	60%
Hispanic or Latino	705	26%	762	28%	768	28%
Asian or Native	42	2%	29	1%	27	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	287	11%	271	10%	283	10%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	13	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		93%		93%
Student Suspensions	300	11%	210	8%	316	12%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	251	236	261
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	2%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	2%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	7%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	41%	40%	41%
Total Number of Core Classes	975	678	826
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	3%	1%
Total Number of Classes	953	929	974
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	4%	3%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	33%	18%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	25%	19%	20%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	22	34	35
Total Paraprofessionals*	49	50	65
Assistant Principals	4	5	5
Principals	4	5	5

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement

 (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.
 District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.
 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District AMITYVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	∧ Improvement (Year 2)						
Status (2008–09)	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 2)	Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	A Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received T	itle I Part A Funding				
	2006-	07 20	07-08	2008-09			
	YES			YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level					
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	 	v	v	 ✓ 	v	v
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	v	V		~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	v	~	••••	~	~	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	-		_	_	
White	~	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••	•••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	 ✓ 		X	X	
Limited English Proficient	✓SH	 	••••	–	–	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 ✓ 	••••	~	 ✓ 	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	X 5 of 6	X 5 of 6	✔ 1 of 1



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
-	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2008-09, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2009-10. [207]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harb	or Target 2008–09	
All Students (1270:1198)			99%		145	130	2007-08	2008-09	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (748:713)	 	✓	100%	~	143	129	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino (383:353)	 ✓ 	 	98%	 ✓ 	140	127	••• •••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
White (124:118)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	171	123	••••	•••••	
Multiracial (1:1)	-	-	-	–	-	-	••••	-	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (206:192)	x	v	99%	x	95	125	96	106	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (122:139)	€	~	97%	✓sн	111	124	109	120	
Economically Disadvantaged (459:455)	<	 	99%	~	144	128		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🗙 6 of 7	7							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (1277:1195)	V	V	100%	V	165	99		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (752:710)	<	~	100%	~	161	98		
Hispanic or Latino (383:352)	<	✓	100%	 	164	96	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (127:118)	<	✓	98%	 ✓ 	183	92	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (1:1)	-	–	-	–	-	-	••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (212:191)	~	~	98%	~	113	94		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (124:145)	✓	~	100%	~	142	93	••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (457:456)	<	~	100%	~	167	97		••••
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7	,						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing				
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science				
	<	Made AYP				
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]				

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	АҮР		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance			Progress Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (434:394)	<u> </u>	Qualified		99%	~	166	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (250:230)		Qualified	~	100%	~	166	100			
Hispanic or Latino (136:121)	•••••••	Qualified	~	99%	~	157	100		• ••• • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (43:38)	••••••••	Qualified	~	98%	~	192	100			
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••••			
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (75:67)		Qualified	~	100%	~	134	100			
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (51:52)		Qualified	~	100%	~	131	100			
Economically Disadvantaged (153:152)		Qualified	~	100%	~	167	100			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1								
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participation is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb 4 If the coun	nt of continuous ho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2006–07 and those two years h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha	sly enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled the participation rat 2007–08 enrollme continuously enro n 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reasons during the test e of a group fel ents and the per olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri l below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2007–0 es. rrmer LEP students :	or accountab in the enrollme od are not red in 2007–08, tl reighted avera ed to meet the 08, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performar po6–07 and	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ice criterior	

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2008-09, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2009-10. [207]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (219:178)	V	v	96%	V	175	157		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	~	~	95%	 Image: A start of the start of	171	155		
(138:104)		•	9370		111	133		
Hispanic or Latino (38:33)	✓	-	-	~	176	148		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific			_		_	_		_
Islander (5:4)				_				
White (38:37)	v	-	-	v	184	149		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (64:30)	x	x	88%	✓ SH	117	148	113	125
Limited English Proficient ⁴	••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••	••••
(5:7)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (62:53)	~	v	100%	~	185	152		
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group,
- groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	×	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (219:178)	 	 Image: A start of the start of	96%	 Image: A set of the set of the	181	151		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (138:104)	~	✓	96%	~	179	149		
Hispanic or Latino (38:33)	~	_	-	~	182	142	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (38:37)	<	_	-	 ✓ 	186	143	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••	•••••	••••				••••	•••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (64:30)	x	x	89%	~	143	142		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (5:7)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (62:53)	~	~	100%	~	183	146		
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group,
- groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progres 2007-08	ss Target 2008–09	
All Students (196)	~	~	74%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (131)		<	76%	55%			
Hispanic or Latino (34)		~	59%	55%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		-	-	-			
White (28)		-	-	-			
Multiracial (0)		•••••		•••••		•••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (46)		~	37%	55%	31%	38%	
Limited English Proficient ³ (6)		_	-	-			
Economically Disadvantaged (60)		✓	82%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
▲ Good Standing	
3 schools identified 60% of total	
AMITYVILLE MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL	
NORTHEAST SCHOOL	
NORTHWEST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
Improvement (Year 2)	
2 schools identified 40% of total	
EDMUND W MILES MIDDLE SCHOOL	
PARK AVENUE SCHOOL	

Summary of 2007–08 **District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	58%		203
Grade 4	54%		204
Grade 5	60%		198
Grade 6	47%		200
Grade 7	52%		207
Grade 8	38%		216
Mathematics			
Grade 3	83%		205
Grade 4	72%		210
Grade 5	78%		203
Grade 6	67%		203
Grade 7	66%		208
Grade 8	54%		222
Science			
Grade 4	74%		202
Grade 8	58%		183
	-	of students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	74%		219

76%

219

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor* and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 656	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780*					
2007 Mean Score: 653 2007–08 2006–07	100%	88% 83%	58% 53%	6% 4%	94% 91%	70% 67%	12% 10%		
Number of Tested Students:		179 172	118 110	13 9					
		2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 S	chool Year			

2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
203	88%	58 %	6 %	207	83%	53%	4%
103	91%	58%	7%	102	89%	62%	6%
100	85%	58%	6%	105	77%	45%	3%
1	-	-	-				
125	92%	61%	6%	113	81%	51%	2%
58	76%	45%	9%	75	83%	49%	3%
2	_	_	_	А	_	_	_
				+			
17	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
20	100%	80%	5%	19	95%	79%	26%
176	93%	64%	7%	181	88%	57%	5%
27	59%	22%	0%	26	50%	23%	0%
185	91%	62%	7%	171	85%	60%	5%
18	56%	22%	0%	36	72%	22%	3%
86	88%	49%	3%	86	83%	57%	3%
117	88%	65%	9%	121	83%	50%	5%
203	88%	58%	6%	207	83%	53%	4%
	Total Tested 203 103 100 1 125 58 2 17 20 176 27 185 18 86 117	Total Tested Percentag 2-4 203 88% 103 91% 100 85% 1 - 125 92% 58 76% 2 - 17 - 20 100% 176 93% 27 59% 185 91% 18 56% 86 88% 117 88%	Tested 2-4 3-4 203 88% 58% 103 91% 58% 100 85% 58% 100 85% 58% 11 - - 125 92% 61% 58 76% 45% 2 - - 17 - - 20 100% 80% 176 93% 64% 27 59% 22% 185 91% 62% 18 56% 22% 86 88% 49% 117 88% 65%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 203 88% 58% 6% 103 91% 58% 7% 100 85% 58% 6% 101 - - - 100 85% 58% 6% 100 85% 58% 6% 100 85% 58% 6% 11 - - - 125 92% 61% 6% 58 76% 45% 9% 2 - - - 177 - - - 20 100% 80% 5% 176 93% 64% 7% 27 59% 22% 0% 18 56% 22% 0% 86 88% 49% 3% 117 88% 65% 9%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 203 88% 58% 6% 207 103 91% 58% 7% 102 100 85% 58% 6% 105 1 - - - - 125 92% 61% 6% 113 58 76% 45% 9% 75 2 - - - 4 17 - - - 4 17 - - - 4 176 93% 64% 7% 181 27 59% 22% 0% 26 185 91% 62% 7% 171 18 56% 22% 0% 36 86 88% 49% 3% 86	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage $2-4$ 20388%58%6%20783%10391%58%7%10289%10085%58%6%10577%112592%61%6%11381%5876%45%9%7583%24-1715-20100%80%5%1995%17693%64%7%18188%2759%22%0%2650%18591%62%7%17185%1856%22%0%3672%8688%49%3%8683%11788%65%9%12183%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage scoring at $2-4$ 20388%58%6%20783%53%10391%58%7%10289%62%10085%58%6%10577%45%112592%61%6%11381%51%5876%45%9%7583%49%24171520100%80%5%1995%79%17693%64%7%18188%57%2759%22%0%2650%23%18591%62%7%17185%60%1856%22%0%3672%22%8688%49%3%8683%57%11788%65%9%12183%50%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 Sc	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
-	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 679	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770						
2007 Mean Score: 675	100%	96% 97%	83% 80%		98% 96%	90% 85%				
2007-08 2006-07				18% 21%			26% 29%			
Number of Tested Students:		197 204	170 168	36 45						
Pocults by		2007–08 Sch	nool Year		2006-07 S	School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):			

Results by	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	205	96%	83%	18%	211	97%	80%	21%	
Female	103	96%	85%	17%	105	100%	85%	24%	
Male	102	96%	80%	19%	106	93%	75%	19%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	126	95%	84%	16%	115	97%	79%	21%	
Hispanic or Latino	58	97%	74%	17%	77	97%	77%	17%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander	د 			-	4				
Vhite	17	-	_	-	15	-	_		
Iultiracial									
Small Group Totals	21	100%	100%	29%	19	95%	95%	42%	
General-Education Students	176	97%	88%	20%	185	98%	85%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	29	90%	52%	0%	26	88%	42%	4%	
English Proficient	187	97%	86%	19%	173	97%	83%	24%	
imited English Proficient	18	89%	50%	0%	38	97%	66%	8%	
conomically Disadvantaged	87	97%	82%	18%	86	97%	80%	17%	
lot Disadvantaged	118	96%	84%	17%	125	97%	79%	24%	
ligrant									
Not Migrant	205	96%	83%	18%	211	97%	80%	21%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year		2006–07 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	rel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 647	Range:	612-775	650-	775 73	16-775				
2007 Mean Score: 648	100%								
		81% 85%				93% 92%			
		0170	E 404				71% 68	%	
2007-08			54% 4	6%					
2006-07									.
				2	% 5%			8%	8%
Number of Tested Students:		166 174	110	93 5	5 10				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		204	81%	54%	2%	204	85%	46 %	5%
Female		103	87%	58%	4%	90	87%	46%	8%
Male		101	75%	50%	1%	114	84%	46%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		112	79%	51%	1%	131	89%	45%	4%
Hispanic or Latino		74	82%	54%	1%	49	80%	37%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	2	_	-	_	3	_	_	_
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••
White		16		<u>-</u>	-	21	-		<u>–</u>
Multiracial					4 70/				
Small Group Totals		18 177	94% 87%	72% 60%	17% 3%	24	79% 92%	67% 51%	<u>13%</u> 6%
General-Education Students									•••••
Students with Disabilities		27	44%	11%	0%	31	45%	13%	0%
		172	84%	60%	3%	186	90%	50%	5%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			22%	0%	18	33%	0%	0%
Limited English Proficient		32	66%						
English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged		32 77 127	<u> </u>	51% 56%	3%	72 132	90%	42%	<u>6%</u> 5%

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

204

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	

54%

2%

81%

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

5%

46%

85%

204

72

136

208

90%

87%

88%

65%

66%

66%

10%

14%

13%

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 95% 94% 84% 80% 299 2006-07 School Year			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 667	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800					
2007 Mean Score: 661	100%	89% 88%	^{72%} 6	6%		95% 94%	84% 80	%		
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07				1	6% 13%			29	% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:		186 183	152 1	.37 3	33 26					
Results by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r						
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group)	Tested	2-4 3-		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		210	89%	72%	16%	208	88%	66%	13%	
Female		105	95%	77%	15%	91	88%	62%	14%	
Male		105	82%	68%	16%	117	88%	69%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive									
Black or African American		115	85%	70%	13%	133	87%	64%	12%	
Hispanic or Latino		75	92%	71%	15%	51	88%	63%	8%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White		18	-			21				
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••••••••••••	•••••••		
Small Group Totals		20	95%	90%	35%	24	92%	83%	25%	
General-Education Students		181	93%	79%	18%	178	92%	69%	14%	
Students with Disabilities		29	62%	31%	0%	30	63%	50%	3%	
English Proficient		176	90%	77%	18%	187	91%	69%	14%	
Limited English Proficient			82%	50%	 6%	21	62%		0%	

⁷⁹ Economically Disadvantaged 131 Not Disadvantaged Migrant Not Migrant 210

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc		2006–07 School Year						
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	

77%

69%

72%

14%

17%

16%

89%

89%

89%

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 74	Range:	45-100	65-1	8 00	5-100				
2007 Mean Score: 74	100%								
		93% 95%				97% 97%	85% 85	%	
			74% 7	5%				50	
2007-08				3.	1% 30%			50	% 49%
2006-07				5.	170 30%				
Number of Tested Students:		187 201	150	159 6	53 63				
Posults by		2007–08 Sc	hool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		202	93%	74%	31%	212	95%	75%	30%
Female		101	96%	77%	33%	91	93%	69%	29%
Male		101	89%	71%	30%	121	96%	79%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive								
Black or African American		111	91%	75%	31%	135	94%	73%	27%
Hispanic or Latino		73	93%	67%	26%	53	94%	75%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	2	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Pacific Islander									
White		16	-		-	21	-		
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		18	100%	100%	56%	24	100%	83%	58%
General-Education Students		175	94%	77%	34%	181	95%	78%	31%
Students with Disabilities		27	81%	56%	15%	31	94%	58%	23%
English Proficient		167	93%	81%	35%	189	96%	78%	32%
Limited English Proficient		35	89%	43%	11%	23	87%	48%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged		76	91%	75%	34%	72	99%	76%	24%
Not Disadvantaged		126	94%	74%	29%	140	93%	74%	33%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		202	93%	74%	31%	212	95%	75%	30%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	2006–07 School Year							
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 654	Range:	608-795	650-7	795 7	11-795					
2007 Mean Score: 657	100%	95% 96%	60% 6	0%		98% 95%	78% 68	%		
2007-08 2006-07				2	% 2%	н.	н	6%	7%	
Number of Tested Students:		188 199	119 1	25	4 5					
Pocults by		2007–08 S e	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		198	95%	60%	2 %	207	96%	60%	2%	
Female		87	95%	60%	3%	103	97%	60%	2%	
Male		111	95%	60%	1%	104	95%	61%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American		126	94%	59%	2%	128	95%	57%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino		48	94%	56%	2%	58	97%	64%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		3	-	-	-					
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	21	-	-		21	100%	71%	5%	
Yultiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••		•••••••••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	24	100%	75%	4%		•••••••••	••••••		
General-Education Students		164	98%	70%	2%	183	98%	67%	3%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••		79%	12%	0%	24	79%	13%	0%	
English Proficient		182	97%	65%	2%	191	97%	63%	3%	
⊥imited English Proficient	•••••		69%	6%	0%	16		25%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged		68	97%	57%	4%	73	96%	58%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	130	94%	62%	1%	134	96%	62%	2%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	198	95%	60%		207			2%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State Pu	ublic	lic				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2008 Mean Score: 669	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 6	99–780							
2007 Mean Score: 666	100%											
		96% 97%	70%			96% 94%	^{83%} 76					
			78% 7	1%			76	%				
2007-08												
2006-07				1	4% 15%			27	[%] 22%			
				1.	498 IJ70							
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	194 201	158 1	.48 2	28 32							
		2007–08 Sc	hool Yea	r		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r				
Results by		Total	Percentag			Total		e scoring a				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		203	96%	78%	14%	208	97%	71%	15%			
Female		89	96%	75%	16%	102	97%	73%	16%			
Male		114	96%	80%	12%	106	96%	70%	15%			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve											
Black or African American		128	95%	73%	11%	127	98%	70%	14%			
Hispanic or Latino		51	94%	80%	12%	60	92%	68%	13%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_							
Pacific Islander		ر 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
White		21	-			21	100%	86%	29%			
Multiracial			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
Small Group Totals		24	100%	96%	33%							
General-Education Students		169	99%	83%	15%	185	97%	77%	17%			
Students with Disabilities		34	79%	53%	6%	23	96%	26%	0%			
English Proficient		185	97%	80%	15%	189	98%	74%	17%			
Limited English Proficient		18	83%	56%	6%	19	79%	47%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged		68	97%	75%	10%	73	100%	70%	18%			
Not Disadvantaged		135	95%	79%	16%	135	95%	72%	14%			
Migrant												
Not Migrant		203	96%	78%	14%	208	97%	71%	15%			

NOTES The – syr symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
A33e35ment3	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri				NY State P			
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 650	Range:	598-785	650-	785 7	05-785				
2007 Mean Score: 649	100%	99% 96%				98% 98%	67% 63	%	
2007-08 2006-07			47%		% 2%	н.		5%	9%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	197 198	93	97	1 4				
Posults by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	ır		2006–07 S	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	200	99%	47%	1%	206	96%	47%	2%
Female		96	100%	51%	1%	101	100%	54%	2%
Male		104	97%	42%	0%	105	92%	40%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative								
Black or African American		119	99%	43%	0%	117	94%	41%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		62	97%	47%	2%	68	99%	49%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ner					2	_	_	_
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••		•••••
White		18	_		-	19	-		
Multiracial	•••••	1	-	-	-		4.000/	700/	4.001
Small Group Totals		19 173	100%	68% 53%	0%	21 179	100% 99%	76% 54%	10%
General-Education Students			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						••••••
Students with Disabilities		27	93%	4%	0%	27	74%	4%	0%
English Proficient		187	99%	49%	1%	182	96%		2%
Limited English Proficient		13	85%	8%	0%	24	100%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged			100%	47%	2%	86	98%		
Not Disadvantaged		134	98%	46%	0%	120	95%	54%	1%
Migrant									•••••
Not Migrant		200	99%	47%	1%	206	96%	47%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic	lic					
		Percentage sc	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4					
2008 Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 G	96-780								
2007 Mean Score: 657	100%												
		^{92%} 86%				94% 91%	700/						
			67% 6	6%			79% 71	.%					
2007-08													
2006-07					20/			26	[%] 20%				
				1	2% 10%								
Number of Tested Students:		187 181	135 1	.39	25 22								
De sudde has		2007–08 Sc	hool Year	r		2006–07 S	ichool Yea	r					
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):				
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
		203	92%	67%	12%	211	86%	66%	10%				
Female		94	95%	67%	13%	101	89%	71%	11%				
Male		109	90%	66%	12%	110	83%	61%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive												
Black or African American		118	94%	63%	9%	116	86%	60%	8%				
Hispanic or Latino		66	86%	65%	12%	73	84%	71%	12%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er					2	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander													
White			-			20	-						
Multiracial		1		.									
Small Group Totals		19	100%	95%	32%	22	91%	77%	18%				
General-Education Students			97%	75%	14%	184	93%	74%	12%				
Students with Disabilities		29	66%	14%	0%	27	37%	11%	0%				
English Proficient		186	95%	70%	13%	182	88%	69%	12%				
Limited English Proficient		17	59%	24%	0%	29	69%	45%	3%				
Economically Disadvantaged		66	97%	71%	17%	85	85%	68%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged		137	90%	64%	10%	126	87%	64%	10%				
Migrant													
Not Migrant		203	92%	67%	12%	211	86%	66%	10%				

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 648	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	12-790					
2007 Mean Score: 645	100%	96% 96%	520/			98% 94%	70%	%		
2007-082006-07			52%	41% C	% 1%	н.		3%	6%	
Number of Tested Students:		199 197	107	85	0 3					
Posults by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		207	96%	52%	0%	206	96%	41%	1%	
Female		100	100%	62%	0%	99	96%	52%	2%	
Male		107	93%	42%	0%	107	95%	32%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e									
Black or African American		117	94%	46%	0%	130	96%	36%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		68	100%	56%	0%	48	94%	40%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White		20	-	-	_	25				
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		•••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Small Group Totals		22	95%	68%	0%	28	96%	68%	7%	
General-Education Students		180	99%	57%	0%	180	97%	46%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	27	78%	19%	0%	26	85%	12%	0%	
English Proficient		187	96%	55%	0%	194	96%	43%	2%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	20	100%	25%	0%	12	92%	8%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged		76	100%	54%	0%	79	97%	38%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged		131	94%	50%	0%	127	94%	43%	2%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	207	96%		0%	206	96%	41%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	5	2	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 655	Range:	611-800	650-8	800 6	93-800						
2007 Mean Score: 648	100%										
		93% 90%				96% 93%	700/				
			66%				79% 67	'%			
2007-08			4	5%							
2007-08								289	% 18%		
2000 01				7	% 7%				1070		
Number of Tested Students:		193 192	137 9	95 1	.5 15						
Poculto by		2007–08 Sc	hool Yea	ſ		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	:level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	-	208	93%	66%	7%	213	90%	45%	7 %		
Female		102	96%	73%	9%	102	91%	50%	9%		
Male		106	90%	59%	6%	111	89%	40%	5%		
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative										
Black or African American		120	92%	61%	4%	134	88%	39%	5%		
Hispanic or Latino		67	96%	72%	7%	50	92%	38%	4%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther	2	_	_	_	3	_	_	_		
Pacific Islander			••••••	•••••							
White		19		—		26	-				
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		21	90%	76%	24%	29	97%	83%	21%		
General-Education Students		182	98%	73%	8%	187	94%	49%	8%		
Students with Disabilities		26	58%	19%	0%	26	65%	12%	0%		
English Proficient		187	93%	68%	8%	197	91%	47%			
imited English Proficient		21	90%	43%	0%	16	81%	13%	0%		
conomically Disadvantaged	ł	76	96%	72%	9%	78	90%	46%			
		400	0401	6001	C 0 /	405	000/	4 4 6 /	001		

Migrant Not Migrant 208 93% 66% 7% 213 90% 45% 7%

62%

6%

135

90%

44%

8%

91%

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

132

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	4	3	

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

ange:	Percentage s 2-4 602-790 95% 90% 206 188 2007-08 S	3-4 650- 38% 83	4 790 7: 42% 1	<u>15-790</u> % <u>2%</u> 2 4	Percentage sc 2–4	soring at level	4	
-	602-790 95% 90% 206 188	650- 38% 83	790 7: 42% 1	% 2%			%	
-	95% _{90%} 206 188	38% ⁴ 83	^{12%}	% 2%	95% 94%	56% 57		
00%	206 188	83	1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	95% 94%	56% 57		
		83	1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
			88 2	2 4				6%
	2007-08 S			-				
		chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	216	95%	38%	1%	210	90%	42 %	2 %
	108	98%	53%	2%	115	93%	57%	3%
	108	93%	24%	0%	95	85%	24%	1%
				••••••				
	133	96%	35%	1%	130	89%	38%	2%
	52	90%	29%	2%	59	86%	36%	2%
	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
	28	-	-		18	-		-
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		••••••••••••		
	31	100%	71%	0%	21	100%	81%	5%
	178	98%	45%	1%	185	94%	47%	2%
	38	84%	8%	0%	25	60%	4%	0%
	207	96%	40%	1%	197	91%	45%	2%
	9	78%	0%	0%	13	62%	0%	0%
	76	95%	36%	0%	78	92%	42%	1%
	140	96%	40%	1%	132	88%	42%	2%
•••••	216	95%	38%	1%	210	90%	42%	2%
		Total Tested 216 108 108 133 52 3 28 31 178 38 207 9 76 140	Total Tested Percentag 2-4 216 95% 108 98% 108 93% 108 93% 103 96% 52 90% 3 - 28 - 31 100% 178 98% 38 84% 207 96% 9 78% 76 95% 140 96%	Tested 2-4 3-4 216 95% 38% 108 98% 53% 108 93% 24% 108 93% 24% 1133 96% 35% 52 90% 29% 3 - - 28 - - 31 100% 71% 178 98% 45% 38 84% 8% 207 96% 40% 9 78% 0% 76 95% 36% 140 96% 40%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 216 95% 38% 1% 108 98% 53% 2% 108 93% 24% 0% 133 96% 35% 1% 52 90% 29% 2% 3 - - - 28 - - - 31 100% 71% 0% 38 84% 8% 0% 38 84% 8% 0% 9 78% 0% 1% 140 96% 40% 1%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total Tested21695%38%1%21010898%53%2%11510893%24%0%9513396%35%1%1305290%29%2%5933281831100%71%0%2117898%45%1%1853884%8%0%2520796%40%1%197978%0%0%137695%36%0%7814096%40%1%132	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentag $2-4$ 21695%38%1%21090% 10898%53%2%11593%10893%24%0%9585%10893%24%0%9585%13396%35%1%13089%5290%29%2%5986%33-2818-31100%71%0%21100%17898%45%1%18594%3884%8%0%2560%20796%40%1%19791%978%0%0%1362%7695%36%0%7892%14096%40%1%13288%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage scoring at $2-4$ 21695%38%1%21090%42% 10898%53%2%11593%57%10893%24%0%9585%24%10893%24%0%9585%24%10893%24%0%9586%36%10893%24%0%9586%36%13396%35%1%13089%38%5290%29%2%5986%36%318281831100%71%0%21100%81%17898%45%1%18594%47%3884%8%0%2560%4%20796%40%1%19791%45%978%0%0%7892%42%14096%40%1%13288%42%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	2	4	-		-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 654	Range:	616-775	650-7	75	701-775				
2007 Mean Score: 637	100%								
		91% 80%				93% 88%			
		80%					70%	9%	
2007-08			54%				5.	7 70	
2006-07			3	1%				179	⁶ 12%
					9% 0%				* 12%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	201 170	120	66	20 0				
		2007–08 Sc	hool Yeai	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total		e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		222	91%	54%	9%	212	80%	31%	0%
Female		110	95%	59%	13%	119	82%	37%	0%
Male		112	86%	49%	5%	93	77%	24%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		133	92%	53%	6%	128	80%	28%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		58	86%	45%	10%	63	75%	22%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r	3	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Pacific Islander			•••••						
White	•••••	28	-		-	18		-	_
Multiracial			•••••						
Small Group Totals		31	94%	77%	19%	21	100%	76%	0%
General-Education Students		183	95%	61%	11%	189	81%	34%	0%
Students with Disabilities		39	72%	21%	0%	23	70%	9%	0%
English Proficient		206	92%	56%	10%	195	84%	32%	0%
Limited English Proficient		16	75%	25%	0%	17	41%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		75	89%	53%	12%	78	85%	31%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		147	91%	54%	7%	134	78%	31%	0%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		222	91%	54%	9%	212	80%	31%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public			
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
	95% _{91%}				^{95%} 91%			
		65%				73% 68	%	
			6%					
2007-08							30%	28%
2006-07			13	3%				
Number of Tested Students:	210 168	144	85 2	9 3				
Results by	2007-08 S				2006-07 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level			
-	Total Tested	-	e scoring at		Total Tested	-	-	
Student Group	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	183	95%	58%	7%	184	91%	46%	2%
Female		94%		3%	93	94%	41%	2%
Male	97	95%	58%	10%	91	89%	52%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	114	96%	61%	4%	113	91%	40%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	52	90%	46%	6%	61	90%	52%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Pacific Islander								
White				-	8	-		
Multiracial				•••••				
Small Group Totals	17	100%	76%	35%	10	100%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	146	95%	68%	8%	161	92%	49%	2%
Students with Disabilities	37	95%	22%	3%	23	87%	26%	0%
English Proficient	167	97%	63%	8%	166	93%	49%	2%
imited English Proficient	16	69%	13%	0%	18	78%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	98%	62%	5%	70	94%	51%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	120	93%	57%	8%	114	89%	43%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	183	95%	58%	7%	184	91%	46%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007–08 S o	hool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	4	-	-	-
Regents Science	37	37	37	16	0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pub	olic		
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	;):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
100% 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	77% 74%	74% 72%	23% 26%	80% 79%	75% 73%	30% 30%	

Results by	2004 Coho i	t		2003 Cohort**				
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	219	77%	74%	23%	200	74%	72%	26%
Female	88	84%	82%	27%	97	79%	78%	35%
Male	131	73%	69%	21%	103	69%	66%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	131	76%	72%	21%	134	72%	70%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	40	75%	70%	20%	35	69%	66%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	20%	3	-	-	-
White	43	84%	84%	33%	28	-	–	-
Multiracial	•••••		•••••	•••••				
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	31	87%	87%	55%
General-Education Students	172	88%	85%	28%	153	88%	87%	33%
Students with Disabilities	47	36%	32%	4%	47	28%	23%	2%
English Proficient	210	78%	75%	24%	193	75%	73%	27%
Limited English Proficient	9	56%	44%	0%	7	43%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	88%	83%	22%	60	83%	80%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	161	73%	71%	24%	140	70%	69%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	219	77%	74%	23%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2004 Coho r	rt			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	4.	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

 *** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pul	olic		
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
100% 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	82% 79%	76% 75%	19% 16%	83% 81%	76% 74%	29% 26%	

Results by	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	219	82%	76%	19%	200	79%	75%	16%	
Female	88	84%	77%	20%	97	84%	76%	22%	
Male	131	81%	76%	18%	103	75%	73%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	131	81%	76%	14%	134	79%	74%	13%	
Hispanic or Latino	40	83%	70%	20%	35	71%	66%	11%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	80%	3	-	-	-	
White	43	86%	84%	28%	28	-	-	-	
Multiracial	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••	31	87%	87%	32%	
General-Education Students	172	91%	87%	22%	153	91%	89%	20%	
Students with Disabilities	47	49%	36%	9%	47	40%	28%	2%	
English Proficient	210	82%	77%	19%	193	80%	76%	17%	
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	67%	22%	7	57%	43%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	58	88%	79%	21%	60	85%	83%	20%	
Not Disadvantaged	161	80%	75%	19%	140	76%	71%	14%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	219	82%	76%	19%	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Coho r	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

 *** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.