

# **The New York State District Report Card**

**Accountability** and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District ADDISON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 57-01-01-04-0000 Superintendent BETSY STIKER Telephone (607) 359-2244 Grades PK-12

# This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

**Get District Profile information**.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **Review District** 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

### **View School Accountability** Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



### **Review an Overview** of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 57-01-01-04-0000

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	58	65	71
Kindergarten	89	72	68
Grade 1	89	92	78
Grade 2	94	90	93
Grade 3	95	97	84
Grade 4	91	87	99
Grade 5	78	94	88
Grade 6	101	78	94
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	81	102	84
Grade 8	92	84	104
Grade 9	82	91	91
Grade 10	77	82	84
Grade 11	86	72	81
Grade 12	108	87	82
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	1163	1128	1130

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	23	19	19
Grade 8			
English		18	
Mathematics	19	15	17
Science	18	16	19
Social Studies		16	20
Grade 10			
English	16	13	
Mathematics	20	25	34
Science	22	20	19
Social Studies		20	19

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2008–09		200	9–10	2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	482	41%	521	46%	495	44%
Reduced-Price Lunch	217	19%	167	15%	149	13%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	2	0%	3	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	4	0%	6	1%
Black or African American	11	1%	8	1%	15	1%
Hispanic or Latino	3	0%	3	0%	6	1%
Asian or Native	9	1%	11	1%	13	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1137	98%	1096	97%	1090	96%
Multiracial	0	0%	6	1%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%	
Student Suspensions	60	5%	141	12%	132	12%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	113	108	104
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	16%	7%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	22%	24%	23%
Total Number of Core Classes	259	261	277
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	2%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	358	388	391
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	0%	1%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	26%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	12%	16%

### **Staff Counts**

2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
16	19	17
52	53	35
0	0	0
3	3	3
	16 52 0	16         19           52         53           0         0

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### **Participation**

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title   Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District ADDISON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 57-01-01-04-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing					
Status (2011–12)	ELA	▲ Good Standing	Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	A Good Standing	Graduation Rate	e 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Funding	g		
	2009-	10	2010-11	2011-12		
	YES		YES	YES		

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	evel			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	x	<b>V</b>	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-		-	-			
White	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	<b>V</b>	••••		
Multiracial	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	X	X	••••	X	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 2 of 4	<b>X</b> 2 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	X 1 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1		



## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (554:532)	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	122	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (12:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:2)	_	_	-	_	-	-	•••••••••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-		-
White (527:508)	✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	122	117	••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (7:6)	–	-	-	-	-	-		–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (99:89)	×	<b>v</b>	99%	X	53	111	67	68
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (311:296)	X	<b>v</b>	100%	X	112	116	116	121
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 2 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (286:280)			100%		130	116		
Male (268:252)	••••••••	••••••	99%		113	115		••••••••••••••••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	132	132		
-	_	-	-	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
_	-	-	-	-	-		-
_	-	-	-	-	-		-
✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	132	132	•••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
×	<b>v</b>	100%	X	60	127	74	74
x	<b>v</b>	100%	X	125	131	129	133
<b>X</b> 2 of 4	4						
		100%		134	130		
• •••••	••••••	100%		129	130	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••
• •••••	•••••	••••		••••	•••••	••••••••••	••••
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       ✓         ✓       ✓         –       –         –       –         –       –         –       –         –       –         –       –         –       –         –       –         –       –         –       –         ×       ✓         ×       ✓         ×       ✓         ×       ✓         100%         ×       ✓         ×       ✓         100%         ×       ✓         100%         ×       ✓         100%	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         V       100%       V         Image: Construction of the system of the system of the	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         V       V       100%       V       132         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         X       V       100%       X       60         X       V       100%       X       125         X       2 of 4       -       -       -         100%       134       -       -       -	Met Status         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image: C	Met Status       Percentage (riterion       Met rested       Performance index       Effective AMO       Safe Harbo 2010-11         V       100%       V       132       132         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         X       Y       100%       X       60       127       74         X       Y       100%       X       125       131       129         X       Y       100%       134       130       -

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing					
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science					
	~	Made AYP					
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]					

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	ΑΥΡ		Participatio	Participation		mance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State		s Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2010-11	2011-12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (202:194)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	V	176	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:5)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)			•••••	••••			••••••	•••••	
White (191:183)		Oualified	~	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	175	100		
Multiracial (4:4)		_	_	-	-	-	-	••••••	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (37:36)		Qualified	_	-	~	131	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (106:100)		Qualified	~	99%	~	173	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>/</b> 1 o	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (111:108)				99%		169	100		
Male (91:86)				100%		185	100		
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••		••••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

Made AYP

- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment

April 20, 2012

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12	
Accountability Groups									
AllStudents (65:71)	X	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	100%	X	170	172	172	173	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)			••••		••••	•••••	••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
White (64:70)	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	173	172	••••	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (16:20)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (31:32)	×	-	-	X	159	166	166‡	163	
Final AYP Determination	X 1 of 3	3							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (26:32)			-		188	166			
Male (39:39)		••••••	-		156	167	••••	••••	
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	••••			••••••	••••	••••	
Migrant (0:0)									

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing					
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics					
	~	Made AYP					
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]					

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
AllStudents (65:71)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	173	169		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (64:70)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	173	169	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••	••••••••••••••••	••••		•••• •••••••	••••••	••• •••	•••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16:20)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (31:32)	~	-	-	~	175	163	••• •••••	•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (26:32)			-		181	163		
Male (39:39)	• ••••	••••••	-		167	164	••• •••	•••••
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	on		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (92)	✓	~	82%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native <b>(0)</b>						
Black or African American (0)	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		
Hispanic or Latino <b>(0)</b>	•••••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander <b>(0)</b>	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••	••••	
White (92)	••••••	✓	82%	80%		
Multiracial (0)	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (8)		_	-	_		
Limited English Proficient (1)	•••••••	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (46)	••••••	X	78%	80%	80%	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (45)			91%	80%		
Male (47)			72%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **85%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

## 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

### In Good Standing 3 schools identified 100% of total ADDISON HIGH SCHOOL TUSCARORA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	f students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	40%		91
Grade 4	51%		98
Grade 5	41%		86
Grade 6	43%		89
Grade 7	27%		82
Grade 8	25%		102
Mathematics			
Grade 3	49%		91
Grade 4	48%		98
	43%		86
	41%		90
Grade 7	35%		82
Grade 8	43%		102
Science			
Grade 4	83%		98
Grade 8	75%		102
	Percentage o scored at or a	f students that above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	66%		79

73%

District ID 57-01-01-04-0000

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

79

#### High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 656	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780					
2010 Mean Score: 655 ■ 2010-11	100%	76% 78%	40% 36%		87% 86%	56% 55%			
2009-10				1% 4%			17% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		69 76	36 35	1 4					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	91	76%	40%	1%	97	78%	36%	4%
Female	39	87%	54%	3%	54	78%	33%	4%
Male	52	67%	29%	0%	43	79%	40%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-
White	86	76%	37%	1%	92	80%	36%	4%
Multiracial	•••••				1	-	–	-
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	0%	5	40%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	77	84%	45%	1%	84	87%	42%	5%
Students with Disabilities	14	29%	7%	0%	13	23%	0%	0%
English Proficient	91	76%	40%	1%	97	78%	36%	4%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	60	72%	28%	2%	60	77%	32%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	31	84%	61%	0%	37	81%	43%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	91	76%	40%	1%	97	78%	36%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## **This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 680	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770					
2010 Mean Score: 679	100%	82% 84%	49%		91% 91%	60% 59%			
2010-11 2009-10			35%	7% 7%			24% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		75 81	45 34	67					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	91	<b>82</b> %	49%	7%	97	84%	35%	7%
Female	39	82%	51%	10%	54	83%	28%	0%
Male	52	83%	48%	4%	43	84%	44%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-			••••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 1	-	-		1	-	-	-
White	86	84%	50%	7%	92	83%	36%	8%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••	1	–	–	-
Small Group Totals	5	60%	40%	0%	5	100%	20%	0%
General-Education Students	76	91%	58%	8%	84	90%	40%	8%
Students with Disabilities	15	40%	7%	0%	13	38%	0%	0%
English Proficient	91	82%	49%	7%	97	84%	35%	7%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Economically Disadvantaged	60	78%	47%	0%	60	82%	23%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	31	90%	55%	19%	37	86%	54%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	91	82%	49%	7%	97	84%	35%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 666	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775				
2010 Mean Score: 669 2010-11 2009-10	100%	88% 90%	51% 46%		92% 92%	57% 57%		
2009-10				1% 6%			2% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:		86 80	50 41	15				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	98	88%	51%	1%	89	90%	46%	6%
Female	52	92%	50%	0%	41	95%	54%	5%
Male	46	83%	52%	2%	48	85%	40%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander							••••••
White	93	88%	51%	1%	85	-	-	-
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	80%	60%	0%	89	90%	46%	6%
General-Education Students	80	95%	61%	1%	81	93%	51%	6%
Students with Disabilities	18	56%	6%	0%	8	63%	0%	0%
English Proficient	98	88%	51%	1%	89	90%	46%	6%
Limited English Proficient								• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	58	88%	47%	2%	55	89%	44%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	40	88%	58%	0%	34	91%	50%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	98	88%	51%	1%	89	90%	46%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010–11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 678	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800				
2010 Mean Score: 681	100%	90% 91%	48% 56%		94% 95%	67% 64%		
2010-11 2009-10				18% 17%			27% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:		88 81	47 50	18 15				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	98	90%	48%	18%	89	<b>91</b> %	56%	17%
Female	51	90%	47%	20%	41	95%	63%	20%
Male	47	89%	49%	17%	48	88%	50%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl	ander							
White	93	89%	47%	17%	85	-	-	-
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	40%	89	91%	56%	17%
General-Education Students	79	96%	59%	23%	81	95%	62%	19%
Students with Disabilities	19	63%	0%	0%	8	50%	0%	0%
English Proficient	98	90%	48%	18%	89	91%	56%	17%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	58	91%	40%	12%	55	89%	55%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	40	88%	60%	28%	34	94%	59%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	98	90%	48%	18%	89	91%	56%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 78	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2010 Mean Score: 80 2010-11 2009-10	100%	99% 99%	83% 88%	43% 41%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%
Number of Tested Students:		97 87	81 77	42 36			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	98	99%	83%	43%	88	99%	88%	41%
Female	50	100%	78%	40%	40	98%	83%	30%
Male	48	98%	88%	46%	48	100%	92%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino				••••••			•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	ander							
White	93	99%	82%	42%	84	-	-	-
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	60%	88	99%	88%	41%
General-Education Students	79	100%	91%	52%	80	99%	90%	45%
Students with Disabilities	19	95%	47%	5%	8	100%	63%	0%
English Proficient	98	99%	83%	43%	88	99%	88%	41%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	58	98%	81%	33%	54	100%	87%	39%
Not Disadvantaged	40	100%	85%	58%	34	97%	88%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	98	99%	83%	43%	88	99%	88%	41%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				1	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				I				

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	blic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 663	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795					
2010 Mean Score: 663	100%	86% 85%			89% 88%	5.00			
<ul><li>■ 2010-11</li><li>■ 2009-10</li></ul>			41% 31%	1% 3%	н.	54% 52%	4% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		74 75	35 27	1 3					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	86	86%	41%	1%	88	85%	31%	3%
Female	40	90%	48%	3%	45	87%	29%	4%
Male	46	83%	35%	0%	43	84%	33%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r				1	-	-	-
White	83	-	-	-	87	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	86	86%	41%	1%	88	85%	31%	3%
General-Education Students	78	90%	45%	1%	78	91%	35%	4%
Students with Disabilities	8	50%	0%	0%	10	40%	0%	0%
English Proficient	86	86%	41%	1%	88	85%	31%	3%
Limited English Proficient	•••••				•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	48	81%	33%	0%	58	86%	22%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	38	92%	50%	3%	30	83%	47%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	86	86%	41%	1%	88	85%	31%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	1	_	_	_	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## **This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780				
2010 Mean Score: 671	100%	90% 91%	43% 41%		94% 94%	66% 65%		
2010-11 2009-10				9% 7%			23% 24%	
Number of Tested Students:		77 80	37 36	86				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	86	90%	43%	9%	88	<b>91</b> %	41%	7%
Female	40	85%	45%	10%	45	91%	42%	7%
Male	46	93%	41%	9%	43	91%	40%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				•••••
Hispanic or Latino								•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er				1	-	-	-
White	83	-	-	-	87	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	86	90%	43%	9%	88	91%	41%	7%
General-Education Students	78	92%	46%	10%	78	96%	45%	8%
Students with Disabilities	8	63%	13%	0%	10	50%	10%	0%
English Proficient	86	90%	43%	9%	88	91%	41%	7%
Limited English Proficient								•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	48	90%	44%	6%	58	90%	38%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	38	89%	42%	13%	30	93%	47%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	86	90%	43%	9%	88	91%	41%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 657	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785					
2010 Mean Score: 654	100%	83% 83%			88% 89%	56% 54%			
2010-11 2009-10			43% 31%	2% 0%			4% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		74 65	38 24	2 0					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	89	83%	43%	<b>2</b> %	78	83%	31%	0%
Female	44	91%	48%	5%	46	85%	37%	0%
Male	45	76%	38%	0%	32	81%	22%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	88	-	-	-	73	82%	30%	0%
Multiracial	••••••							•••••
Small Group Totals	89	83%	43%	2%	5	100%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	73	93%	52%	3%	64	94%	38%	0%
Students with Disabilities	16	38%	0%	0%	14	36%	0%	0%
English Proficient	89	83%	43%	2%	78	83%	31%	0%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••				••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	55	84%	38%	0%	44	77%	23%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	34	82%	50%	6%	34	91%	41%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	89	83%	43%	2%	78	83%	31%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780				
2010 Mean Score: 667	100%	88% 85%	41% 49%		92% 92%	63% 61%	26% 27%	
2009-10				<sup>12%</sup> 5%				
Number of Tested Students:		79 66	37 38	11 4				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	90	88%	41%	12%	78	85%	49%	5%
Female	44	89%	48%	16%	46	83%	50%	4%
Male	46	87%	35%	9%	32	88%	47%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	89	-	-	-	73	84%	48%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	90	88%	41%	12%	5	100%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	74	100%	47%	15%	64	97%	58%	6%
Students with Disabilities	16	31%	13%	0%	14	29%	7%	0%
English Proficient	90	88%	41%	12%	78	85%	49%	5%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	55	85%	35%	9%	44	77%	45%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	35	91%	51%	17%	34	94%	53%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	90	88%	41%	12%	78	85%	49%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	_	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 653	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790				
2010 Mean Score: 663	100%	76% 84%			91% 90%			
<ul><li>2010-11</li><li>2009-10</li></ul>			45% 27%	1%		48% 50%	4% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		62 87	22 47	1 10				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	82	76%	27%	1%	104	84%	45%	10%	
Female	50	82%	32%	2%	60	85%	50%	12%	
Male	32	66%	19%	0%	44	82%	39%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	•••••		••••••	•••••		••••	•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-					
White	75	76%	28%	0%	99	84%	46%	10%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	7	71%	14%	14%	5	80%	20%	0%	
General-Education Students	61	92%	36%	2%	86	91%	55%	12%	
Students with Disabilities	21	29%	0%	0%	18	50%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	82	76%	27%	1%	104	84%	45%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••		•••••	•••••			•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	41	73%	12%	0%	53	83%	43%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	41	78%	41%	2%	51	84%	47%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	82	76%	27%	1%	104	84%	45%	10%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	9 0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## **This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 659	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800					
2010 Mean Score: 662	100%	78% 83%	35% 38%	16%	92% 92%	65% 62%	30% 29%		
Number of Tested Students:		64 86	29 40	2% 2 17		- N.			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	82	<b>78</b> %	35%	2%	104	83%	38%	16%	
Female	50	80%	42%	4%	60	80%	42%	15%	
Male	32	75%	25%	0%	44	86%	34%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 2	-	-	-					
White	75	79%	35%	1%	99	82%	38%	17%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	7	71%	43%	14%	5	100%	40%	0%	
General-Education Students	61	93%	46%	3%	86	91%	45%	20%	
Students with Disabilities	21	33%	5%	0%	18	44%	6%	0%	
English Proficient	82	78%	35%	2%	104	83%	38%	16%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	41	71%	22%	0%	53	79%	42%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged	41	85%	49%	5%	51	86%	35%	18%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	82	78%	35%	2%	104	83%	38%	16%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 647	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790				
2010 Mean Score: 644	100%	83% 82%			92% 91%	47% 51%		
2010-11 2009-10			<sup>25%</sup> 18%	0% 2%			2% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:		85 74	25 16	0 2				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student of oup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	102	83%	25%	0%	90	82%	18%	2%
Female	59	81%	24%	0%	44	84%	23%	2%
Male	43	86%	26%	0%	46	80%	13%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander							
White	96	82%	25%	0%	90	82%	18%	2%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	17%	0%		••••		•••••
General-Education Students	85	93%	26%	0%	73	89%	22%	3%
Students with Disabilities	17	35%	18%	0%	17	53%	0%	0%
English Proficient	102	83%	25%	0%	90	82%	18%	2%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	46	78%	15%	0%	47	79%	9%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	56	88%	32%	0%	43	86%	28%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	102	83%	25%	0%	90	82%	18%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		,	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 665	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775					
2010 Mean Score: 663	100%	88% 85%	4 <u>3%</u> 34%		91% 91%	60% <sub>55%</sub>			
2009-10			34%	6% 6%			18% 18%		
Number of Tested Students:		90 76	44 30	65					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	102	88%	43%	6%	89	85%	34%	6%
Female	59	90%	47%	7%	43	81%	42%	7%
Male	43	86%	37%	5%	46	89%	26%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				•••••
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	slander							
White	96	88%	44%	6%	89	85%	34%	6%
Yultiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	33%	0%				
General-Education Students	85	96%	51%	7%	73	89%	41%	7%
Students with Disabilities	17	47%	6%	0%	16	69%	0%	0%
English Proficient	102	88%	43%	6%	89	85%	34%	6%
imited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	46	85%	39%	2%	47	81%	19%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	56	91%	46%	9%	42	90%	50%	10%
۹igrant								
Not Migrant	102	88%	43%	6%	89	85%	34%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This District			NY State Publi	с	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
<ul><li>■ 2010-11</li><li>■ 2009-10</li></ul>	100%	95% 93%	<sup>75%</sup> 69%	22% 22%	94% 94%	72% 74%	28% 33%
Number of Tested Students:		97 82	76 61	22 19			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	102	95%	75%	22%	88	93%	69%	22%
Female	59	93%	66%	17%	43	91%	65%	16%
Male	43	98%	86%	28%	45	96%	73%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	lander							
White	96	95%	74%	23%	88	93%	69%	22%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%				
General-Education Students	85	99%	81%	25%	73	99%	75%	26%
Students with Disabilities	17	76%	41%	6%	15	67%	40%	0%
English Proficient	102	95%	75%	22%	88	93%	69%	22%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	46	91%	72%	22%	46	93%	61%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	56	98%	77%	21%	42	93%	79%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	102	95%	75%	22%	88	93%	69%	22%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				1	_			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	T	_	_	_	T	_	_	_	
Regents Science	0				0				

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	79	76%	66%	19%	92	86%	78%	21%
Female	37	84%	76%	24%	45	93%	84%	22%
Male	42	69%	57%	14%	47	79%	72%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-			•••••	
White	78	-		-	92	86%	78%	21%
Multiracial		••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	79	76%	66%	19%	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
General-Education Students	56	88%	80%	25%	84	93%	86%	23%
Students with Disabilities	23	48%	30%	4%	8	13%	0%	0%
English Proficient	79	76%	66%	19%	91	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	35	69%	57%	11%	46	85%	76%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	44	82%	73%	25%	46	87%	80%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	79	76%	66%	19%	92	86%	78%	21%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



<b>Results by</b>	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	79	82%	73%	14%	92	86%	75%	11%
Female	37	84%	81%	14%	45	93%	82%	16%
Male	42	81%	67%	14%	47	79%	68%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				•••••			•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-			•••••	
White	78	-	-	-	92	86%	75%	11%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	79	82%	73%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	56	91%	89%	20%	84	89%	80%	12%
Students with Disabilities	23	61%	35%	0%	8	50%	25%	0%
English Proficient	79	82%	73%	14%	91	_	_	_
imited English Proficient		••••••	•••••	•••••	1	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged	35	80%	71%	9%	46	87%	74%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	44	84%	75%	18%	46	85%	76%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	79	82%	73%	14%	92	86%	75%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.